

# **Public Correspondence**

**Public correspondence received  
as of May 20, 2010**

## Thomas Thornton

---

**From:** Michelle Tate  
**Sent:** Monday, March 01, 2010 11:20 AM  
**To:** Thomas Thornton  
**Subject:** FW: traps near the city  
**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Blue

**From:** ODFW Commission  
**Sent:** Monday, March 01, 2010 11:10 AM  
**To:** Liz Dreith; Michelle Tate  
**Subject:** FW: traps near the city

Please have someone acknowledge her email below. This is her second message to Commission.

Thanks,  
Teri Kucera

---

**From:** markellie [mailto:markellie@bendbroadband.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 01, 2010 10:07 AM  
**To:** ODFW Commission  
**Subject:** Fw: traps near the city

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [markellie](mailto:markellie)  
**To:** [odfw.commission@coho2.dfw.state.or.us](mailto:odfw.commission@coho2.dfw.state.or.us)  
**Sent:** Monday, February 08, 2010 8:56 AM  
**Subject:** traps near the city

I would like to request a change on the marking marking traps. I believe that traps should be marked with a bright legible sign warning the general public that a trap is in the area. This small consolation should not interfere with a catch one way or another.

Last year I almost stepped on one while walking my dog on public land near a city and a resort town. Not being a trapper I feel its the trappers responsibility to have some kind of warning out and not the unknowing public to keep track of the various trap seasons. This year while exploring an interesting rocky area on public land, near a well used trail and within 5 miles of a large city my dog got her foot caught in one. I feel this is unacceptable. Without a regulation on trap marking and with more dog lovers than ever plus more population that prefer having fun on the public land rather than the same old crowded trails in the city I hope something can be done.

I fully understand wildlife management but like I stated earlier some bright obvious signs would not interfere with an animal harvest. Try dealing with a screaming hurt dog and two crying children who don't understand what's going on. And yes the trap might be small enough not to clamp over an adult shoe but they sure could snap over a child's foot while on a family outing. Not cool!

Thank you.

This is the second time I've sent this message. Please reply.



# OREGON HUNTERS ASSOCIATION

Helping Wildlife • Enhancing Habitat • Protecting Our Hunting Heritage

Mr. Ron Anglin, Wildlife Division Director  
Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife  
3406 Cherry Avenue N.E.  
Salem, OR 97303-4924

MAY 20 2010

RECEIVED  
MAY 20 2010  
WILDLIFE DIVISION

May 17, 2010

Dear Mr. Anglin:

The Oregon Hunters Association would like to provide comments to the Oregon Furbearing Hunting and Trapping Regulations, July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2012. We are asking that the trapping restrictions for Camas Creek drainage in its entirety, and that portion of the North Fork of the Umatilla River, be lifted, and for trapping to be allowed.

The restrictions were first put into place in the early 1990's in an effort to distribute more beavers into the system on Forest Service lands. According to a local ODFW biologist, the population is healthy, and opening it up to trapping would add no risk to the populations. In general, beavers are very abundant in Umatilla County.

There have been numerous on-going damage complaints within that drainage. This has required action both from Wildlife Services and ODFW. The local ODFW Office is very interested in lifting the restriction and has been working with the Forest Service to move this forward. Since OHA supports scientific management of wildlife, we support the lifting of restrictions as well.

Thank you for your consideration in the matter. If you have any questions please don't hesitate to contact me at (541) 479-8631.

Sincerely,

Fred Craig  
OHA President



RECEIVED

MAR 31 2010

O.D.F.W. MAIL DEPT

3-30-10

Dear O.D.F.W

I would like to make a suggestion that might arouse some controversy.

I would like to see a limit on the number of hounds that could be run on a fur beaver ~~and~~ at any one time. Four (4) should be more than enough.

Also a limit on the number of traps that could be set by any one trapper would be a good idea!

Sincerely,

Robin J. Powell

1322 Locust St.

Oakland, Or. 97462

ph. (541) 315-1937

RECEIVED

APR 26 2010

WILDLIFE DIVISION

## Thomas Thornton

---

**From:** rmcguire [cadman4d9@charter.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 19, 2010 5:19 PM  
**To:** Thomas.L.Thornton@state.or.us  
**Subject:** 2010-11 Hunting Regs Prop

Mr. Thornton,

I attending the OFW session in Roseburg on Tuesday, May 18, 2010. Discussion took place pertenant to proposed Hunting Regulation changes with most participation dealing with the "hunting orange issue". After listening to the presentation by ODFW, it is obvious that the single most determining factor in hunting safety is the Hunter Education Program. That is where the emphasis should be placed. Statistics show that Oregon is already a safe place to hunt. Unfortunately, there are those rare occassions when hunting accidents happen.

**I am opposed to any hunting regulation requiring "hunter orange"**. However, I am supportive of it being recommended as is promoted by the Hunter Sadey Programs across the Nation. There are a number of hunters in Oregon who voluntarily wear "hunter orange" during their outings. That is being done by choice, not regulation.

I recommend the commission leave the "hunter orange" issue in its current status.

There were about 80 in attendance at the meeting in Rosebug. A poll taken of attendees indicated that 61 of those supported "Option 1", that is take no action at all. Two were in favor of Option 2 and 1 vote was for Option 3. There were 7 ODFW members and 2 news reporters who obstained from voting. There were about 10 that did not vote.

Overwhelmingly, the audiance at Roseburg feels as I do.

Thank-you for this opportunity to respond,

Ron McGuire  
147 Country Hill Drive  
Roseburg, OR 97471