

## **Public Correspondence**

**Public correspondence received  
as of December 22, 2010**

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Diana Nagy [dnagy83499@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 11:51 AM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diana Nagy  
PO Box 601  
Welches, OR 97067-0601

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Lynda Bagot-Parker [seken2none500@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 11:51 AM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynda Bagot-Parker  
PO Box 791  
Selma, OR 97538-0791

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Pat LeBaron [patkl@charter.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 11:55 AM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pat LeBaron  
2368 Amaryllis Ave  
Medford, OR 97504-5502  
(541) 779-6740

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Victoria Holzendorf [vinvanmo@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 11:57 AM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Victoria Holzendorf  
5063 Foothills Dr Unit H  
Lake Oswego, OR 97034-4118

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Shirley Miller [suggey3@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 12:52 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shirley Miller  
PO Box 3242  
Coos Bay, OR 97420-0409

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Mary Burnley [maryburnley@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 12:52 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Burnley  
833 18th Ave  
Sweet Home, OR 97386-2402

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Anthony Albert [albert2910@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 12:58 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anthony Albert  
664 NW 18th St  
Corvallis, OR 97330-5779



## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Michelle Blake [blake\_michelle@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 1:03 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michelle Blake  
580 Ewald Ave SE  
Salem, OR 97302-3811

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Phil Hanson [phanson@spiretech.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 1:55 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mr. Phil Hanson  
2215 SE Miller St Apt 22  
Portland, OR 97202-6853

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Gloria Monroe [gmonroe1@q.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 1:55 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Gloria Monroe  
180 Crume Dr  
Grants Pass, OR 97526-7768

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Debbie thompson-Jenkins [debbie.thompson-jenkins@safeco.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 1:55 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Debbie thompson-Jenkins  
4330 SE Rex St  
Portland, OR 97206-8460

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of daniel saltz [dsaltz@mail.sdsu.edu]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 1:55 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Dr. daniel saltz  
1587 Westhaven Ave NW  
Salem, OR 97304-2623

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Rhett Lawrence [rhettlawrence@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 1:55 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Falcon nest raiding

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rhett Lawrence  
6445 N Commercial Ave  
Portland, OR 97217-2024

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Teri Kucera  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 2:28 PM  
**To:** Michelle Tate; Julie A Hansen  
**Cc:** Martin Nugent  
**Subject:** FW: Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Red

For Exhibit B in January 7 Commission packet. - Teri Kucera

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [mailto:alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] On Behalf Of Pat Grady  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 2:25 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mr. Pat Grady  
160 Sycamore Dr  
Grants Pass, OR 97526-9034

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of mary c. robinson [grey.mare@charter.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 2:56 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. mary c. robinson  
132 Theo Dr  
Talent, OR 97540-9737



## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Wendy Johnson [johnson9118@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 2:56 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Wendy Johnson  
4001 SW Fairvale Dr  
Portland, OR 97221-3543

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Vicki Hood [muttmom@isp.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 2:56 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Vicki Hood  
1212 Whistlers Ln  
Roseburg, OR 97470-7973  
unlisted00

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Janet Chase [omsairam@clearwire.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 2:56 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Janet Chase  
2025 NE Rachel Ct  
Bend, OR 97701-6049

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Nancy Fleming [nflemingrn@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 3:56 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Fleming  
802 SW Terwilliger Pl  
Portland, OR 97239-2666

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Debra Saude [deanndeb@centurytel.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 3:56 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debra Saude  
1050 Pleasant Valley Rd  
Sweet Home, OR 97386-1033  
(541) 367-7059

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Maria White [capa\_7@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 9:57 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maria White  
18880 SW Hart Rd  
Beaverton, OR 97007-5623

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Niall Carroll [modusoperandi2@mac.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 10:57 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 15, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mr. Niall Carroll  
3991 Franklin Ave  
Astoria, OR 97103-2439

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Nancy Furgeson [nfurg@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 11:27 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 15, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Furgeson  
13455 SW 6th St  
Beaverton, OR 97005-3872



## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Erin Netter [erinnetter@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 11:57 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 15, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Erin Netter  
8761 Monument Dr  
Grants Pass, OR 97526-9724  
(541) 479-3740

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Bob Thomas [rockthomas@mcsi.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2010 7:28 AM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 15, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Thomas  
2001 Weaver Rd  
Myrtle Creek, OR 97457-8704

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Jane A Johnson [janenotsoplain@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2010 7:58 AM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 15, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jane A Johnson  
2727 NW Pettygrove St Apt 1  
Portland, OR 97210-2455

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Donna Reeves [jazzcats@charter.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2010 7:58 AM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 15, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donna Reeves  
3991 Franklin Ave  
Astoria, OR 97103-2439  
(503) 325-4819

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Jeannine Mihalek [jmihalek@ene.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2010 8:28 AM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 15, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jeannine Mihalek  
18184 S Redfir Dr  
Beavercreek, OR 97004-9613

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Marv Binegar [mbinegar@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2010 8:28 AM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 15, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mr. Marv Binegar  
12347 Boynton St  
Oregon City, OR 97045-6745

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Glenn Koehrsen [gkoehrsen@prodigy.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2010 8:28 AM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 15, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mr. Glenn Koehrsen  
15144 S Graves Rd  
Mulino, OR 97042-9762

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Jeannine Mihalek [jmihalek@ene.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2010 8:28 AM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 15, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jeannine Mihalek  
18184 S Redfir Dr  
Beavercreek, OR 97004-9613



## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Teri Kucera  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2010 10:15 AM  
**To:** Julie A Hansen; Michelle Tate  
**Subject:** FW: Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Red

For Jan 7th Commission packet - Exhibit B.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [mailto:alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] On Behalf Of Connie Perala  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2010 9:29 AM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 15, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Connie Perala  
14950 SW Juliet Ter  
Tigard, OR 97224-0832

**Julie A Hansen**

---

**From:** Michelle Tate  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2010 12:00 PM  
**To:** Julie A Hansen  
**Subject:** FW: Falconry take regulations  
**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Red

another

---

**From:** Bob Sallinger [mailto:bsallinger@audubonportland.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 09, 2010 2:32 AM  
**To:** 'Michelle Tate'; 'Martin Nugent'; 'Art Martin'  
**Subject:** Falconry take regulations

Art and Martin,

The proposed regulations as written are acceptable to Audubon. Thanks for your work on this.

The only area where I have a question is in the restrictions placed on procurement of bridge birds. The restrictions accurately reflect Audubon's approach (ie we would not procure bridge birds other than under these circumstances.) However do you also want to place these same limitations on yourselves or other qualified entities (Pagel for example)? I like the approach in that it is clean and simple and it also sends a positive message to bridge management entities and also the public which tends to track these sites relatively closely. However, I did want to check in on this point since there may be opportunities down the road where entities outside Audubon who conduct peregrine work on bridges may be willing to procure birds for falconry. This language would obviously preclude any qualified biologist from taking birds from bridges except under circumstances where nest management or operational activities are occurring. Again we are fine with this language as it reflects our approach, but it was not necessarily our intent to limit other qualified biologists outside our organization.

Bob

---

**From:** Michelle Tate [mailto:michelle.l.tate@state.or.us]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 08, 2010 10:37 AM  
**To:** Welle128@aol.com; alelkins@att.net; Bob Sallinger  
**Subject:**

Good morning,  
Attached are the current draft rules relating to the take of Peregrine falcons for the purpose of falconry. The public comment period will remain open until the Commission meeting on January 7, 2010. Comments relating to the proposed rule received prior to December 21 will be provided to the commission for review in advance to the meeting; comments received after the 21<sup>st</sup> will be provided to the commissioners on the day of the meeting.

This meeting will be held at the ODFW Salem Headquarters office.

12/16/2010

Thank you, Michelle

***Michelle Tate***

*Assistant Operations Manager  
Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife  
Wildlife Division  
3406 Cherry Ave N  
Salem, OR 97303  
503-947-6315  
[Michelle.L.Tate@state.or.us](mailto:Michelle.L.Tate@state.or.us)*

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Michelle Tate  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2010 12:00 PM  
**To:** Julie A Hansen  
**Subject:** FW: Peregrine Falcon Harvest Regulations  
**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Red

---

**From:** ODFW Commission  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 07, 2010 10:24 AM  
**To:** Michelle Tate  
**Subject:** FW: Peregrine Falcon Harvest Regulations

Please note email below was copied to Martin Nugent. – Teri Kucera

---

**From:** Benton Elliott [mailto:benton.elliott@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 06, 2010 4:09 PM  
**To:** ODFW Commission  
**Cc:** Martin.Nugent@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Peregrine Falcon Harvest Regulations

Commissioners:

I am writing to add to the discussion by department personnel and the commissioners of peregrine falcon harvest regulations in our state. The illegal take by Oregon licensed falconers of two peregrine nestlings in Port Orford in 2009 should be a cautionary tale. It resulted in a traumatized small coastal community, a newly-promulgated local ordinance prohibiting any peregrine harvest in Port Orford, and a failed nesting attempt by the adult birds at the historic nest site in 2010.

Since captive-bred peregrines are readily available for purchase from licensed and regulated breeding facilities, I see no reason why wild sites should suffer the human intrusions which led to the aforementioned and predictable outcomes. Should peregrine nestlings require removal from some sites such as bridges for their protection, I do think these and other birds coming through licensed raptor rehabilitators could be made available to appropriately-licensed Oregon falconers. Rather than taking away from the wild resource, this would be an opportunity for giving back.

Many dollars and hours over many years have gone into bringing this iconic species back from the brink of extinction. I urge department personnel and the commissioners to carefully consider the risks and opportunities to harm or improve the peregrine's plight in our state as these discussions continue.

Thank you for considering my input.

Benton Elliott  
1313 Lincoln Street, #605  
Eugene, Oregon 97401  
978.500.1106  
[benton.elliott@gmail.com](mailto:benton.elliott@gmail.com)

12/16/2010

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Teri Kucera  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 16, 2010 8:55 AM  
**To:** Julie A Hansen; Michelle Tate  
**Subject:** FW: Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Red

I may have already forwarded this one to you. - Teri Kucera

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [mailto:alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] On Behalf Of dora haslett  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 1:14 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. dora haslett  
735 SW Saint Clair Ave  
Portland, OR 97205-1458

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Elizabeth Hathaway [lizamomba@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2010 9:42 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 15, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Hathaway  
1802 SE Mulberry Ave  
Portland, OR 97214-4766

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of sandy songer [pjsong@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2010 11:42 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 16, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mrs. sandy songer  
2768 Ferndale Dr  
Eugene, OR 97404-1844

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Fred Fleetwood [waterrat1@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2010 2:30 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 15, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mr. Fred Fleetwood  
4261 Highway 227  
Trail, OR 97541-9711



## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Michael Madias [mike@beautifulbuildings.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 15, 2010 3:00 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 15, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Madias  
6942 NE Cleveland Ave  
Portland, OR 97211-2310

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Teri Kucera  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 16, 2010 9:02 AM  
**To:** Julie A Hansen; Michelle Tate  
**Subject:** FW: Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Red

-----Original Message-----

From: Born Free USA Newsletters [mailto:alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] On Behalf Of Connie Perala  
Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2010 9:29 AM  
To: Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
Subject: Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 15, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Connie Perala  
14950 SW Juliet Ter  
Tigard, OR 97224-0832

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Teri Kucera  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 16, 2010 12:14 PM  
**To:** Julie A Hansen; Michelle Tate  
**Subject:** FW: Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

-----Original Message-----

From: Born Free USA Newsletters [mailto:alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] On Behalf Of Heidi Hartman  
Sent: Thursday, December 16, 2010 7:44 AM  
To: Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
Subject: Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 16, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Heidi Hartman  
72097 Highway 74  
Ione, OR 97843-4322

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Teri Kucera  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 16, 2010 3:09 PM  
**To:** Julie A Hansen; Michelle Tate  
**Subject:** FW: Don't let falconers raid nests!

For Jan 7th Commission packet. - TK

-----Original Message-----

From: Born Free USA Newsletters [mailto:alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] On Behalf Of Deborah Craig  
Sent: Thursday, December 16, 2010 12:44 PM  
To: Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
Subject: Don't let falconers raid nests!

Dec 16, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Craig  
1280 Taft St  
Eugene, OR 97402-1966

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Teri Kucera  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 16, 2010 4:50 PM  
**To:** Julie A Hansen; Michelle Tate  
**Subject:** FW: Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Red

-----Original Message-----

From: Born Free USA Newsletters [mailto:alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] On Behalf Of Irene Mills  
Sent: Thursday, December 16, 2010 2:46 PM  
To: Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
Subject: Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 16, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Miss Irene Mills  
2174 NW Davis St Apt 402  
Portland, OR 97210-3581  
(503) 525-2287

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Grace Neff [graceswallow@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 3:26 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Grace Neff  
800 28th Ave SE  
Albany, OR 97322-4177

## Julie A Hansen

---

**From:** Born Free USA Newsletters [alertsmanager@bornfreeusa.org] on behalf of Kacey Donston [lvtrees@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2010 3:26 PM  
**To:** Teri.Kucera@state.or.us  
**Subject:** Oregon Residents: Don't Let Falconers Raid More Nests!

Dec 14, 2010

Ms. Rules Coordinator Teri Kucera  
3406 Cherry Ave. NE  
Salem, OR 97303

Dear Ms. Kucera,

I am writing to voice my opposition to allowing the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry purposes.

Americans have paid dearly to help the peregrine falcon, and most want it left alone. Further, I question whether the cost of enforcement may exceed the price paid in licensing fees. Whenever there is a legal collection and trade of wildlife, there must be a large infrastructure of study and enforcement to ensure laws are followed and populations remain stable.

Indeed, in 2009 five falconers were charged in Oregon with unlawful taking of peregrine falcon nestlings and are still awaiting court action.

History has shown that the increased popularity of captive wildlife for private use, whether wild-caught or captive-bred, often leads to a subsequent increase in the illegal trafficking of their wild counterparts within the United States and abroad. The presence of legalized seizure of wild falcons makes enforcement of laws against illegal poaching harder.

Falconers represent a very small percentage of Oregon residents. Their narrow interests in this issue should not be placed above the interests of a majority of Oregon residents who do not want our recovered endangered species placed in captivity for private use or commercial gain.

For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Commission to establish restrictions that prohibit the taking of peregrine falcons for falconry, or for other personal use, and to reject the proposed rules.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kacey Donston  
6140 Canary Rd  
Westlake, OR 97493-9705  
(541) 997-8141