

Exhibit D

Public Correspondence

**Public correspondence received
through January 15, 2021**

From: [Mark Sherwood](#)
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Nonnative Game Fish Policy OAR line edits.pdf
Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021 5:15:05 PM
Attachments: [Nonnative Game Fish Policy OAR line edits.pdf](#)

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please find edits of the current draft Non-native Game Fish Management Policy attached below.

Warmly,
Mark



January 14, 2021

Fr: Jennifer Fairbrother, Conservation Director
Mark Sherwood, Executive Director
To: ODFW Commissioners
Re: Non-native Game Fish Management Policy

Dear Chair Wahl and members of the Commission,

The below comments pertain to the proposed Non-native Gamefish Policy that will be presented to the Commission for consideration on Friday, January 15, 2021. These comments serve to supplement our oral testimony in order to present to you line-item changes that we encourage you to incorporate into the proposed policy before adoption.

We have significant concerns with the draft policy before the Commission. If adopted, this policy would represent a precedent setting departure from the department's mandate to ensure the conservation and recovery of native fish species throughout the state of Oregon. We agree that the department needs a consistent policy guiding the management of non-native fish in the state. However, codifying the conservation of non-native fish species and utilizing the state's resources to grow and expand these populations in natural lakes, streams, and rivers is a major departure from the department's fundamental purpose.

We urge the Commission to adopt a no size, no bag limit for all non-native fish species. Doing so aligns with the department's mandate and existing Native Fish Conservation Policy.

We recommend the following revisions to proposed OAR 635-007-0512 through 635-007-0518 as presented in Exhibit D, Attachment 3:

- Amend Key Element 5, Objective (b) of OAR 635-007-0516 (lines 48 through 50) so as to read: Provide a variety of recreational angling opportunities reflecting the diverse resource and public interest **in artificial lakes and ponds disconnected from other waterways**. These can range from catch-and-release and trophy fisheries to high-yield fisheries that optimize surpluses and harvest opportunities.
- Amend Key Element 5, Objective (a) of OAR 635-007-0516 (lines 46 through 48) to read: **Conservation of native fish species will dictate recreational use of non-native fish in all-natural lakes, ponds, streams, and rivers and in reservoirs connected to**

other waterways. ~~Optimize recreational use to benefit the public consistent with conservation of native fish species.~~

- Reorder the objectives stated in Key Element 5 of OAR 635-007-0516 (lines 45 through 55) of the policy to reflect state priorities in the order of objective (c), (b), and then (a).
- Amend Directive 1 of OAR 635-007-0518 (lines 63 through 67) so as to read: Non-native game fish occur in many aquatic systems throughout the state. ~~Management of these species may differ depending on type of water body (i.e., river, stream, lake or reservoir); how established a population has become, how altered the water body is, and the spatial and temporal overlap with native species.~~ Accordingly, ~~t~~**The Department will take the following approach to managing non-native game fish.**
- Amend Directive 1(b) of OAR 635-007-0518 (lines 70 through 75) so as to read: In rivers, streams, and natural lakes **and reservoirs connected to other waterways** where non-native game fish are present and pose a risk to the conservation or recovery of native fish or wildlife, or would be detrimental to the reestablishment of extirpated native fish or wildlife populations, the Department **will implement a no size, no bag limit for retention of non-native game fish and** will actively manage against the expansion of non-native game fish populations. At some specific locations, this may include efforts to reduce or remove non-native game fish depending on the degree of conservation risk, management options and resources available, and the likelihood of success.
- Strike Directive 1(c) of OAR 635-007-0518 (lines 76 through 79) in its entirety: ~~In rivers, streams, and natural lakes where the impact of non-native game fish on native fish or wildlife is negligible or native fish or wildlife have been extirpated and the natural ecosystem functions have been altered such that reestablishment of native fish populations is unlikely, the Department will manage for recreational opportunity of non-native game fish.~~
- Amend Directive 1(d) of OAR 635-007-0518 (lines 80 through 83) so as to read: In man-made ponds, lakes or reservoirs **disconnected from other waterways**, if populations of non-native and native game fish combine to provide a recreational fishery (mixed stock fishery, and the associated fishery does not pose a conservation risk to native species), the Department may continue to manage for the full suite of species.
- Strike Directive 1(f) of OAR 635-007-0518 (lines 88 through 91) in its entirety: ~~In waterbodies with significantly altered habitat, the Department will continue to establish, maintain or enhance a desired recreational fishery for non-native game fish as long as the non-native game fish population and associated fishery do not pose a conservation risk to native species.~~
- Amend Directive 2(b) of OAR 635-007-0518 (lines 96 through 97) so as to read: If the species cannot be eradicated and presents a conservation risk, the Department shall

implement a no size, no bag limit for retention of non-native game fish and actively manage to reduce its presence or influence;

- Strike Directive 2(c) of OAR 635-007-0518 (lines 98 through 100) in its entirety: ~~If the species cannot be eradicated and presents minimal conservation risk, the Department shall manage to prevent or minimize its population growth and range expansion but may also manage the non-native game fish to provide recreational opportunity at that location.~~

From: [David Moskowitz](#)
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us; curt.melcher@state.or.us
Cc: [Michelle Tate](#); [Shannon Hurn](#)
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Non-Native Game Fish Policy and Rules
Date: Thursday, January 14, 2021 7:22:52 PM
Attachments: [TCA Comments to OFWC on Non-native Fish Policy - 1-14-21.pdf](#)

Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the Commission,
The Conservation Angler has attached a statement and comments on the proposed policy and regulations. I apologize for the late delivery of these materials - I am profoundly disappointed to be writing to you at this time so late in the process. Nevertheless, we urge you to reject the proposed policy and rules.

TCA is extremely disappointed in the proposed rules and by the ODFW staff outreach on this proposed policy and set of administrative rules.

Why was it that ODFW staff only met with warmwater fish advocates to discuss this policy?

When did ODFW and the FWC adopt a policy to "give up" on waterways and watersheds that are home to native fish and wildlife based on some amorphous set of conditions related to habitat quality and the presence of illegally introduced non-native (so-called) game fish?

These rules allow ODFW to walk away from watersheds and their native fish assemblage based on some professional judgement without any public input. This not only violates the administrative procedures act - but it is absolutely wrong.

These rules are an outrage of failed management, public participation, leadership and vision.

These rules are also a corruption of the recently adopted Climate Change Policy.

Please reject the staff recommendation to adopt this policy and these proposed rules as currently written.

Sincerely,

David Moskowitz

--

David A. Moskowitz
Executive Director
The Conservation Angler
david@theconservationangler.org
www.theconservationangler.org
971-235-8953



Tout ce qui est impossible reste à accomplir. ~ Jules Verne
All that is impossible remains to be accomplished.



Statement by The Conservation Angler on the Non-Native Game Fish Management Policy

The Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission has been asked to adopt agency policy and rules to regulate non-native fish species in Oregon's rivers, lakes and reservoirs.

The Conservation Angler urges the Commission to send these proposed regulations back to the staff and public drawing board so that a simpler and stronger policy can be developed that prioritizes protection, recovery and conservation of indigenous native fish and wildlife.

The proposed policy fails to meet the legal requirements found in the Commission's overriding and predominant statutory mission to "prevent the serious depletion of any indigenous species" (ORS 496.012) and it also fails to meet the second part of the Commission's mission to provide for the optimum recreational and aesthetic benefits for present and future generations." ORS 496.012). The Commission's conservation mission is the overriding primary purpose against which all regulations must be analyzed.

It is well known that non-native fish species – some characterized as "game fish" and others as invasive fish - are present in many Oregon waters and are often illegal introduced. These species are adversely affecting indigenous species of Oregon wildlife - wild fish and amphibians – throughout the state.

Invasive fish species – including some "game" fish species - compete for food and habitat with native fish and prey on native fish. While healthy habitats can mitigate the competitive impacts of non-native fish on indigenous species, non-native game fish also may gain a competitive advantage when habitat conditions are less than ideal. Habitat processes, distribution and condition are being addressed as part of a long-term and comprehensive statewide effort encompassed under the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds. The goal is to improve habitat processes, distribution, and condition over time. The very presence of non-native fish species (Game or otherwise) in these degraded watersheds should be considered a source of pollution in terms of habitat quality and recovery.

Oregon can regulate non-native game fish management policy in man-made ponds and reservoirs that are not connected to natural waterways. Oregon's natural water bodies must be managed for indigenous fish and wildlife.

Oregon statutory priority mission cannot be usurped by recent policy development to address climate change. While climate change may indeed result in the loss or migration of indigenous species from some historic habitat, the Climate Change policy lens may not permit the agency to allow the extirpation by managing non-native game fish in a manner that impedes attempts at recovery.

The Oregon Commission should delay adoption of this proposed non-native game fish management policy and demand that agency staff adopt a blanket policy and regulation for all waters that support indigenous fish species no matter their population status – this would be the most effective action for the agency to meet the Commission's mandate.

David Moskowitz * Executive Director * The Conservation Angler * david@theconservationangler.org

Field Code Changed

ODFW Non-Native Game Fish Management Policy (Proposed for Adoption on January 15, 2021)

If the Commission wishes to adopt a new policy, The Conservation Angler suggests the following amendments to the proposed policy (in redline)

Purpose of the Non-Native Game Fish Management Policy

This policy provides direction on the management of non-native game fish (as defined in ORS 496.009) to ensure the Department's actions to ~~establish, maintain, enhance, or constrain~~ manage non-native game species are consistent both with the conservation of native fish and wildlife and providing quality recreational fisheries.

It clarifies the Department's management ~~of a diverse suite~~ of non-native game fish species found across the landscape and provides direction on where and when to focus efforts to conserve indigenous fish and wildlife species by eliminating risks posed by non-native and invasive game fish ~~optimize their recreational use.~~

The Native Fish Conservation Policy (OAR 635-007-0502 through 0509) states that the conservation of naturally produced native fish species in the geographic areas to which they are indigenous is the Department's principal obligation for fish management. ~~However, this does not preclude the management of non-native game fish, but it does~~ This provides the context for where and what type of management is appropriate based upon meeting the principal obligations to conserve native fish using the best available science. ~~As such, the Non-Native Game Fish Management Policy complements the Native Fish Conservation Policy.~~

Non-Native Game Fish Management Policy Goal

The goal of the Non-Native Game Fish Management Policy is to frame how and where to manage non-native game fish to meet native fish conservation priorities first, and only then to provide recreational angling opportunity and the associated social and economic benefits, so long as the best available science confirms that non-native game fish management does not pose any risk while reducing or avoiding risk to native fish and wildlife populations. Implementation of this policy will likely be specific to individual sites, locations, or environments based upon native and non-native game fish distributions in existing habitats, the availability and quality of suitable habitat, and the importance of that habitat to native and non-native game fish species.

[The goal of the Non-Native Game Fish Management Policy is to frame how and where to manage non-native game fish to provide recreational angling opportunity and the associated social and economic benefits, while reducing or avoiding risk to native fish and wildlife populations. Implementation of this policy will likely be specific to individual sites, locations, or environments based upon native and non-native game fish distributions, the availability and quality of suitable habitat, and the importance of that habitat to native and non-native game fish species.] ~~ODFW deleted this section in a recent amendment~~

Key Elements of Non-Native Game Fish Management [These elements should be prioritized]

1. ~~4-~~ Although non-native game fish provide significant recreational angling opportunity in Oregon and some may have increasing importance due to a changing climate, non-native game fish may also pose risk to Oregon's native fish and wildlife and must be managed to ensure this risk is eliminated or minimized within acceptable limits.

Formatted: Font: Bold

2. The Department shall manage non-native game fish to meet the following objectives:

a. Prevent or minimize potential adverse impacts to native fish and wildlife, ecosystem functions, or other recreational fisheries. Adverse impacts may occur through range expansion, competition, predation, introduction or transmission of pathogens, interbreeding and hybridization. The presence or relative importance of one or more of these factors may vary significantly across species or locations.

Optimize recreational use to the benefit of Oregonians consistent with conservation of native fish species.

b. Provide a variety of recreational angling opportunities reflecting the diverse resource and public interest. These can range from catch-and-release and trophy fisheries to high yield fisheries that optimize surpluses and harvest opportunities.

3. As outlined in the Department's Climate and Ocean Change Policy (OAR 635-900-0001), a changing climate will result in conditions that favor many non-native fish including some non-native game fish. As a result, it is anticipated some species of non-native game fish may expand their distribution.

4. The Non-Native Game Fish Management Policy applies to all geographic regions and the diversity of aquatic habitats found across Oregon.

~~5. Non-native game fish provide important and valued recreational angling opportunities to Oregon. Recreational use of these fisheries has social and economic importance throughout the state.~~

~~3. As outlined in the Department's Climate and Ocean Change Policy (OAR 635-900-0001), a changing climate will result in conditions that favor many non-native fish including some non-native game fish. As a result, it is anticipated some species of non-native game fish may expand their distribution.~~

~~4. Although non-native game fish provide significant recreational angling opportunity in Oregon and some may have increasing importance due to a changing climate, non-native game fish may also pose risk to Oregon's native fish and wildlife and must be managed to ensure this risk is within acceptable limits.~~

~~5. The Department shall manage non-native game fish to meet the following objectives:~~

~~a. Optimize recreational use to the benefit of Oregonians consistent with conservation of native fish species.~~

~~b. Provide a variety of recreational angling opportunities reflecting the diverse resource and public interest. These can range from catch and release and trophy fisheries to high yield fisheries that optimize surpluses and harvest opportunities.~~

~~c. Prevent or minimize potential adverse impacts to native fish and wildlife, ecosystem functions, or other recreational fisheries. Adverse impacts may occur through range expansion, competition, predation, introduction or transmission of pathogens, interbreeding and hybridization. The presence or relative importance of one or more of these factors may vary significantly across species or locations.~~

6. This policy does not address the on-going stocking and use of hatchery trout species native to Oregon, such as rainbow and cutthroat trout, and kokanee salmon. These species may be addressed in other

forums through development of Conservation Plans, Hatchery Genetic Management Plans, and through federal Endangered Species Act recovery plans.

Directives of the Non-Native Game Fish Management Policy ~~[These Directives should be prioritized]~~

1. Non-native game fish occur in many aquatic systems throughout the state. Management of these species may differ depending on type of water body (i.e., river, stream, lake or reservoir), how established a population has become, ~~how altered the water body is,~~ and the spatial and temporal overlap with native species. Accordingly, the Department will take the following approach to managing non-native game fish.

a. In rivers, streams, and natural lakes where non-native game fish are not present, the Department will actively manage against the establishment of non-native game fish.

b. In rivers, streams, and natural lakes where non-native game fish are present and pose a risk to the conservation or recovery of native fish or wildlife, or would be detrimental to the reestablishment of extirpated native fish or wildlife populations, the Department will actively manage against the expansion of non-native game fish populations. At some specific locations, this may include efforts to reduce or remove non-native game fish depending on the degree of conservation risk, management options, ~~and resources available,~~ and likelihood of success.

c. ~~In rivers, streams, and natural lakes where the impact of non-native game fish on native fish or wildlife is negligible or native fish or wildlife have been extirpated and the natural ecosystem functions have been altered such that reestablishment of native fish populations is unlikely, the Department will manage for recreational opportunity of non-native game fish. {Until there are specific enumerated criteria that define the terms in this section, it should be deleted}~~

d. In man-made ponds, ~~lakes,~~ or reservoirs not connected to waters occupied by native fish, if populations of non-native and native game fish combine to provide a recreational fishery (mixed stock fishery), and the associated fishery does not pose any conservation risk to native species, the Department may continue to manage for the full suite of species.

e. In man-made ponds, lakes or reservoirs, if a population of non-native game fish is present and the population is detrimental to the quality of the fishery, the Department may actively manage by removing or reducing the non-native population causing the problem to reestablish the desired recreational fishery.

f. In waterbodies with significantly altered habitat, the Department will continue to establish, maintain, or enhance a desired recreational fishery for non-native game fish as long as the non-native game fish population and associated fishery do not pose conservation risk to native species.

2. In response to an illegal introduction of a non-native game fish species into a river, stream, lake, or reservoir where that non-native species does not currently exist, the Department, ~~as best possible within available resources,~~ shall:

a. Attempt to eradicate the species ~~if it is feasible and practical to do so;~~

b. If the species cannot be eradicated and presents a conservation risk, the Department shall actively manage to eliminate or reduce its presence or influence;

- c. If the species cannot be eradicated ~~and presents minimal conservation risk~~, the Department shall manage to prevent or minimize its population growth and range expansion, but may not also manage the non-native game fish to provide recreational opportunity at that location because it is the result of an illegal introduction.

Proposed Administrative Rule Language: Purpose Statement

635-007-0512 Purpose of the Non-Native Game Fish Management Policy

This policy provides direction on the management of non-native game fish to ensure the Department's management actions are consistent with the conservation of native fish and wildlife and that the resources to manage non-native game fish ~~establish, maintain, enhance, or constrain these fisheries are~~ most effectively applied to be consistent as noted above. It clarifies the Department's management of a ~~very~~ diverse suite of non-native game fish species found across the landscape and provides direction on where and when to focus efforts to optimize their recreational use.

Statutory/Other Authority: ORS 635.500

Statutes/Other Implemented: ORS 496.012, 496.138, 496.146, 496.162, 496.164, 506.119, 506.129

From: [Mark Sherwood](#)
To: [David Moskowitz](#)
Cc: odfw.commission@state.or.us; Curt.Melcher@state.or.us; [Michelle Tate](#); [Shannon Hurn](#)
Subject: Re: Comments on the Proposed Non-Native Game Fish Policy and Rules
Date: Friday, January 15, 2021 6:40:06 AM

Great comments David thank you!

On Jan 14, 2021, at 7:20 PM, David Moskowitz
<david@theconservationangler.org> wrote:

Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the Commission,
The Conservation Angler has attached a statement and comments on the proposed policy and regulations. I apologize for the late delivery of these materials - I am profoundly disappointed to be writing to you at this time so late in the process. Nevertheless. we urge you to reject the proposed policy and rules.

TCA is extremely disappointed in the proposed rules and by the ODFW staff outreach on this proposed policy and set of administrative rules.

Why was it that ODFW staff only met with warmwater fish advocates to discuss this policy?

When did ODFW and the FWC adopt a policy to "give up" on waterways and watersheds that are home to native fish and wildlife based on some amorphous set of conditions related to habitat quality and the presence of illegally introduced non-native (so-called) game fish?

These rules allow ODFW to walk away from watersheds and their native fish assemblage based on some professional judgement without any public input. This not only violates the administrative procedures act - but it is absolutely wrong.

These rules are an outrage of failed management, public participation, leadership and vision.

These rules are also a corruption of the recently adopted Climate Change Policy.

Please reject the staff recommendation to adopt this policy and these proposed rules as currently written.

Sincerely,

David Moskowitz

--

David A. Moskowitz
Executive Director
The Conservation Angler
david@theconservationangler.org
www.theconservationangler.org

971-235-8953



Tout ce qui est impossible reste à accomplir. ~ Jules Verne
All that is impossible remains to be accomplished.

<TCA Comments to OFWC on Non-native Fish Policy - 1-14-21.pdf>

Uwr r rgo gpcn
Public Correspondence

**Received through 5:00 p.m. on
January 15, 2020**

Gzj kdk'D

**Subject: Non Native Game Fish
Management Policy**

**16 individuals submitted the
attached comments.**

From: [Henry Rolfs](#)
To: ODFW.Commission@state.or.us
Subject: Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NATIVE GAMEFISH MANAGEME
Date: Friday, January 15, 2021 3:43:33 PM

Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,

Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and can have serious impacts on the ability of wild native fish to not only thrive, but in many cases, survive. Invasive species like catfish, bass, crappie, perch and walleye not only compete for food and habitat with native fish, they also prey on native fish. Their ability to survive in severely degraded environments means that these invasive species flourish in many of Oregon's rivers and streams and contribute to declining populations of native fish species like Salmon, Steelhead, and native Trouts.

The proposed non-native gamefish policy blatantly fails to live up to ODFW's mandate "to protect and enhance Oregon's fish and wildlife and their habitats for use and enjoyment by present and future generations." We strongly encourage the ODFW Commission to adopt a no size, no bag limit for non-native game fish species as this is the most consistent way for the agency to contribute to the department's mandate.

Artificial lakes without connection to natural streams or rivers are appropriate venues to manage non-native game species for recreational opportunities. Oregon's natural rivers, streams, and lakes (including human-made reservoirs that are attached to riverways) should be stewarded for the revival of abundant, native fish.

Allowing a no size/no bag limit on non-native game fish species allows for recreational opportunity in the near term, as people continue to fish for these species, as well as the long term, as recovered native fisheries become available for both angling and consumptive opportunity.

Let's ensure that the state's priorities remain clear by crafting policies focused on the conservation and recovery of our iconic native fish species.

Sincerely,
Henry Rolfs
HENRYROLFS@COMCAST.NET 97219

FROM	SUBJECT	RECEIVED	SIZE	C...	MENTION	
Date: Two Weeks Ago						
STEPHEN MESSIER Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,	Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NAT... Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and	Thu 1/14/2021 7:28 PM	22 KB			▶
Nicholas Rowell Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,	Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NAT... Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and	Thu 1/14/2021 7:37 PM	22 KB			▶
"John G. Ward" Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,	Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NAT... Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and	Thu 1/14/2021 7:53 PM	21 KB			▶
Jim Yarbrough Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,	Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NAT... Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and	Thu 1/14/2021 8:21 PM	22 KB			▶
James Noble Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,	Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NAT... Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and	Thu 1/14/2021 8:30 PM	21 KB			▶
Gabe Babcock Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,	Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NAT... Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and	Thu 1/14/2021 8:45 PM	21 KB			▶
John Tehan Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,	Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NAT... Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and	Thu 1/14/2021 9:03 PM	21 KB			▶
Cindy Putzier Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,	Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NAT... Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and	Thu 1/14/2021 10:09 PM	21 KB			▶
Ryan Thompson Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,	Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NAT... Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and	Thu 1/14/2021 10:40 PM	20 KB			▶
Dale Greenley Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,	Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NAT... Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and	Fri 1/15/2021 1:49 AM	21 KB			▶
MARK CAFFEE Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,	Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NAT... Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and	Fri 1/15/2021 7:23 AM	20 KB			▶
Mike Brinkley Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,	Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NAT... Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and	Fri 1/15/2021 7:33 AM	20 KB			▶
McLane Fisher Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,	Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NAT... Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and	Fri 1/15/2021 7:52 AM	21 KB			▶
Miles Johnson Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,	Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NAT... Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and	Fri 1/15/2021 9:02 AM	22 KB			▶
Garth Foscett Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,	Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NAT... Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and	Fri 1/15/2021 3:25 PM	21 KB			▶
Henry Rolfs Dear Chair Wahl and Members of the ODFW Commission,	Comment to Commission on EXHIBIT D: NON-NAT... Invasive fish species are already present in many of Oregon's watersheds and	Fri 1/15/2021 3:44 PM	21 KB			▶