Joint-State Columbia River Salmon Fishery Policy Review Committee (PRC)

Public Comments received between
October 2, 2019 through November 6, 2019

for the November 18 meeting
8 a.m. – 5p.m.
Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife Region 5 Office
5525 S 11th St Ridgefield WA 98642

Subject: Don’t Abandon Columbia River Reforms and Return Gillnets to Mainstem

511 individuals submitted the attached letter
(List Attached)

180 submitted with additional comments
[Letters Attached]
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Milton Hunt
32629 Coal Creek Rd
Scappoose, OR 97056
miltondhunt@yahoo.com
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Today</th>
<th>Elmer Green</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Wed 11/6/201...</th>
<th>21 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, How many times must we sport fishermen attempt to block you folks from giving a few hundred Gill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Yesterday</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mrs. ...</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Tue 11/5/201...</th>
<th>22 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Monday</th>
<th>Russ Thackery</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Mon 11/4/201...</th>
<th>20 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Do not allow gillnets in the lower Columbia River. We need to protect and enhance our wild salmon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Last Week</th>
<th>Perry Walsh</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Fri 11/1/2019...</th>
<th>20 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Last Week</th>
<th>Jeff Akers</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Fri 11/1/2019...</th>
<th>20 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Last Week</th>
<th>Leo Morris</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Fri 11/1/2019...</th>
<th>22 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Last Week</th>
<th>George Bart...</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Thu 10/31/20...</th>
<th>20 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Last Week</th>
<th>Philip Hawkins</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Wed 10/30/20...</th>
<th>20 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Last Week</th>
<th>Robert Claey...</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Wed 10/30/20...</th>
<th>22 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Gillnetting is insane with salmon runs diminishing. I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Last Week</th>
<th>Donald Claey...</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Wed 10/30/20...</th>
<th>20 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, The fishery is already poor, please don't abandon what we have and make it worse. I didn't catch one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Last Week</th>
<th>Leo Morris</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Tue 10/29/20...</th>
<th>22 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Last Week</th>
<th>George Krumm Keep Your Pr...</th>
<th>Mon 10/28/20...</th>
<th>18 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I, like many others, have been paying for the Columbia River Basin Endorsement for several years. I'm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Last Week</th>
<th>Marilyn Leno</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Mon 10/28/20...</th>
<th>21 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, How could anybody call themselves conservationist and allow these kill nets on our rivers. We the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Last Week</th>
<th>Dave Coleman</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Sun 10/27/20...</th>
<th>20 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, If protecting our native salmon and steelhead species is our goal, allowing gillnets in the lower</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Last Week</th>
<th>charles white</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Sun 10/27/20...</th>
<th>19 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Two Weeks Ago</th>
<th>Ron Richey</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Sat 10/26/20...</th>
<th>22 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Two Weeks Ago</th>
<th>Daniel RAM.</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Fri 10/25/201...</th>
<th>18 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Salmon and steelhead returns to the Columbia River are at an all time low. Reversing Columbia River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Two Weeks Ago</th>
<th>Cary Rhode</th>
<th>Protect Our ...</th>
<th>Fri 10/25/201...</th>
<th>20 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, To save some money, the damage done is often irreversible. Please don't make the same mistake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date: Two Weeks Ago</th>
<th>Andreas Grob</th>
<th>Don't Aband...</th>
<th>Fri 10/25/201...</th>
<th>19 KB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Please do not do this and move away from this. We will oppose any of these movements and fight for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Categories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Har...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/25/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kris Lumsden</td>
<td>The sport fi...</td>
<td>Fri 10/25/201</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Mikes</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/25/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Younger</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Thu 10/24/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dale Hewitt</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Thu 10/24/20</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly goss</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Thu 10/24/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blake Belay</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Thu 10/24/20</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEFFREY HULL</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Wed 10/23/20</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nolan Matsu...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Wed 10/23/20</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Edg...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Wed 10/23/20</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norm Brendan</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Wed 10/23/20</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roy Humphrey</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Wed 10/23/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Hooper</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Wed 10/23/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Erickson</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Tue 10/22/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Brown</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Tue 10/22/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Brown</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Tue 10/22/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Renoud</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Tue 10/22/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Ravert</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Tue 10/22/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Brown</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Tue 10/22/20</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lloyd Loncosky</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Tue 10/22/20</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Davis</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Tue 10/22/20</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.
Dear ODFW Commissioners, it’s time to get the kilnets off of the Columbia for good. ODFW seems to disregard the voices of the

joe sugura Don’t Aband... Tue 10/22/20... 18 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Vance Briese Don’t Aband... Tue 10/22/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Brad Vesterby Don’t Aband... Tue 10/22/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Leo Morris Don’t Aband... Tue 10/22/20... 22 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Chris Paresa Don’t Aband... Mon 10/21/20... 19 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Brad Staples Don’t Aband... Tue 10/22/20... 21 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Rodger Sellin Don’t Aband... Mon 10/21/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, STOP THIS PRACTICE PLEASE I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River

Russell Kelly Don’t Aband... Mon 10/21/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Bill Mitchell Don’t Aband... Mon 10/21/20... 22 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Rick Jurgensen Don’t Aband... Mon 10/21/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Dennis Buch... Don’t Aband... Mon 10/21/20... 18 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, Gillnetters do not belong in any Oregon rivers period. The gillnetters and Sea Lions can do there

Bill Rodberg Don’t Aband... Mon 10/21/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Brian Ferguson Don’t Aband... Mon 10/21/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Mike Hambach Don’t Aband... Mon 10/21/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Mark Pilako... Don’t Aband... Mon 10/21/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, Please don’t allow gillnets. I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery

John Hall Don’t Aband... Mon 10/21/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, We have been paying for the reform and if the nets get back in for Spring fishery. Why have we been

Joe Headlee Don’t Aband... Mon 10/21/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Patrick Falk Don’t Aband... Mon 10/21/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Grant James Don’t Aband... Mon 10/21/20... 18 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, Dear ODFW, Please consider the where the majority of the funding for ODFW comes from and make

Stephen Lewis Don’t Aband... Mon 10/21/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Steven Gilgu... Don’t Aband... Mon 10/21/20... 22 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
<th>RECEIVED</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>CATEGORIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Troy Cummins</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>The Commissions continued</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Olson</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>consider the mainstem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Hogue, L</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>proposals to abandon the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Plummer</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>bi-state Columbia River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Elder</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Lane</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>have no idea why Oregon would</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Malino</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>allow non-selective gill nets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Grube</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>bi-state Columbia River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Bunnell</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim Hasselb</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>Please take action to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Walsh</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. ...</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>Commissioners of the ODFW,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Monroe</td>
<td>PLEASE DO ...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>Hello- my name is Bill Monroe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Collins</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>proposals to abandon the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Kling</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>bi-state Columbia River</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willa Zook</td>
<td>Columbia Riv...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob Gibbs</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>fish the Columbia and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Sim...</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>have watched the numbers of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Bernhard</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Mon 10/21/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>river to be filled with fish,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dale Carper</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>be caught individually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Whets...</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>proposals to abandon the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Morton</td>
<td>Don’t Aband...</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td>fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

April H Mack 4 11/6/2019 12:38 PM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
<th>RECEIVED</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>CATEGORIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Freund</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prioritizing commercial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>non-selective gillnet fishing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>is bad policy and bad science.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Hedrick</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Doja</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Brown</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. …</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward Rabi…</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randy Klobas</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The gill net fishery kills way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>much bycatch to be an</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>environmentally acceptable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>harvest system. Seine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Drais</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marv Abe</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean Sigler</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Who is promoting this? What</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>would they gain? What would</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>we all lose? I strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oppose proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Lobdell</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Thomp…</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent Hall</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Several years ago, I traveled</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>over 300 miles to fish in the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Willamette river for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>springers and in 3 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Collins, Jr</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terri moshb…</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Ostrom</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I am a life long Oregonian.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The idea of allowing gillnets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>back on the main stem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia is not</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffrey Mo…</td>
<td>Gillnets Are …</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We all know that gillnets kill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>indiscriminately, so why is</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>this continuing to be brought</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>forth for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Springer</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. …</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To our respected ODFW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commissioners.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gerald Fry</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Bom…</td>
<td>Don’t Aband…</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If you go in this direction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>you will wipe out all the fish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>which results in no fishing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>So if there is no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Erik Barber</td>
<td>Sun 10/20/20</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return to the status quo. This is a shortsighted decision that will undermine the hard work and sacrifices made by so many to protect our salmon. The evidence is clear: the fishery reforms have been a success and are essential for the long-term survival of salmon.

Sincerely,
[Name]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Received</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs.</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abandon the bi-state Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lance Griffin</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abandon the bi-state Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERRY WAL...</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abandon the bi-state Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOMAS M...</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abandon the bi-state Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Cameron</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abandon the bi-state Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Bauer</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abandon the bi-state Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortney Burrus</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abandon the bi-state Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danny Raym...</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abandon the bi-state Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Lo...</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>We, the people you represent, have</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>been paying extra money for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fishing licenses for 6 years to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Kehoe</td>
<td>Gillnet Fishin...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>William Safko</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>All we have to do is follow the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>politicians and the gill netters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>money. Both are corrupt. You cannot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Gilgu...</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abandon the bi-state Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVE Nelson</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abandon the bi-state Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David McNeill</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>I have fished in Oregon lakes,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rivers and bays for over 23 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and have enjoyed the many benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Duhamel</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abandon the bi-state Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID MCCOY</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abandon the bi-state Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Camp</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abandon the bi-state Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mark Board...</td>
<td>Looking out f...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>Please allow for multiple use of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the steahead and salmon fisheries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gill netting doesn’t. Remember the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs.</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abandon the bi-state Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Harvey</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>I strongly oppose proposals to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abandon the bi-state Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Larrea</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODFW Commissioners</td>
<td>Our steelhead and salmon run,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>SUBJECT</td>
<td>RECEIVED</td>
<td>SIZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph Veldink</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, We are now 2 years beyond the agreement that was reached to remove gill nets from the Columbia.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Wong</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Grumbling</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I have been fishing in Oregon for about 65 years, I have fished less this year because of low fish counts.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Beaty</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Cochran</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, It simply amazes me that we spend billions of dollars trying to protect and improve the Columbia.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod Evers</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Why do you keep on trying to get gillnets back in the Columbia River? Enough people have express</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>geoffrey ga...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, time is now to due your part to save our salmon!!!! I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gerald bell</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dale Wolford</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Do your job, let the sportfishing community have some fish. Get rid of the gillnets and crank up the.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Christ...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Can the states just do what they said they would instead of constantly lying to the public. I strongly.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooks EILE...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Please do not go back to the archaic, indiscriminate methods of harvest. Keep the Columbia River.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Spearing</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick Klettke</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, You destroyed Columbia River salmon with the political agenda to build dams then tried to cover up.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renee Klettke</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, You should be ashamed of the handling of the Columbia River fishery and gill nets. I strongly oppose.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carl DePaolo, J</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eric Neal</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, You are cutting salmon fishing seasons and limits due to lack of fish returning. This only makes the.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifton Powell</td>
<td>Deep pocket...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, income supplementation—not 100% livelies hood. I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEFF SHULL</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ron Dilbeck</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I was born in The Dalles in 1953 while the dam was being built. My father would crawl down a ladder.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molly Ray</td>
<td>Concerned fi...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Please do not harm the endangered salmon and steelhead by allowing gilnetting!!!! I strongly.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Hea...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Sportfishing brings in millions if not billion dollars to Pacific northwest. Fish have enough hurdles.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Urdzik</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Sat 10/19/20</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                    | Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.
Raymond Bu... Don't Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, gillnets kill everything that puts their head in it! I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Jack Gaston Don't Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, Also it doesn't make any sense to possibly kill 10 fish to keep one hatchery fish should be able to keep.

Chad Price Don't Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 18 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, The recommendations by the joint state work group, which is stacked with commercial fishing.

Tim Wolford Don’t Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 22 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I sick of paying a tax for years now that was supposed to be for these reforms. About to give it all up,

Terry Coleman Don't Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 22 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Richard Martin Don't Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, Please, No More Broken Promise's. I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia.

Roger Goodwin Don't Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Ronald Salzer Don't Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I guess if they want the gillnets in we need to remove the dams. I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia.

Mr. & Mrs. ... Don't Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I fish out of Chinook Landing for springers. I guess I should say I use to. I seems that every year when

Mike Arnold Don't Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 22 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

John Julian Don't Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 18 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, As I, along with most of the angling public feel the state has betrayed us on this issue, if a reason for

William Butter Don’t Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Randy Bailey Don’t Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 18 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I've fished the Clearwater and Snake all my life, I've been looking forward to taking my grandchildren.

greg hepner Don't Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 19 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Dan Soule Don’t Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Greg Spanos Don’t Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 18 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I've retired to OR for its' iconic fishery. And ready to move to New Zealand (with my money), for their

Mr. & Mrs. ... Don't Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Steven Hougak Don't Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, To the people on the Oregon fish commission, Who do you think pays your bills every year? It's the

Richard Bryan Don’t Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Philip Longway Don’t Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 18 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, Hey people please wake up! This is the 21st century! There is no reason except for greed to allow gill

Douglas Mas... Don't Aband... Sat 10/19/20... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, For states that pride themselves on wildlife conservation, I am astounded that Oregon and
Scott Mills  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Rob Bignall  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Leon Kitchin  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Michael Spr...  Keep the gill...  Sat 10/19/20...  18 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, Why would you even think this is a good idea? I live in Idaho and our steelhead season is closed. Our

Eric Anderson  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, Gillnets are size specific and have been removing larger fish since the 1800's. True recovery cannot

Douglas Cus...  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  19 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Steve Gentz  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Patrick Jones  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  22 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Mike Sones  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  22 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I cannot believe anyone would think gillnets are an option anywhere anytime. I strongly oppose

Scott Kappes  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Donna Traaen  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Lynn Williams  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Steven Blair  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Tim Cooper  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Bryan Buckalew  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I am a sport fisherman who has invested a significant amount of money into this activity and I find it

Dale Stutevoss  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Kennan St....  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Betty Armst...  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, In 2012, measure 81 was abandoned because sports fisherman and conservationists were promised

Douglas Newell  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  22 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Richard Sch...  Don't Aband...  Sat 10/19/20...  22 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Brian Duffy  Don't Aband...  Fri 10/18/201...  22 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Jay Wylie  Don't Aband...  Fri 10/18/201...  22 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
<th>RECEIVED</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>CATEGORIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Randall Ode...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Carlson</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne Spent</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Abolofia</td>
<td>NO GILLNE...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARK Carter</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Krupicka</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolyn Cooper</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James t. Ma...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Mickel</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Chu...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Caff...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Pena</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs.</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce McGavin</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ron Eriksen</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregg Josep...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Bors...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Sallee</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Kremer</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryan Mitchell</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Kap...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>Received</td>
<td>Size</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce LeTou</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Kay</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brad Halverson</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Holbrook</td>
<td>STOP NON</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryan Mulligan</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chellie Smie</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shane Fogle</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dylan Gollehan</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda Holb</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs.</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg McMillan</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stan Mende</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harold Jone</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger Goodman</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John murray</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Burge</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Fair</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Nichols</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Erceg</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Hendrie</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Pavn</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl Myers</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>SUBJECT</td>
<td>RECEIVED</td>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>CATEGORIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Stroup</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs.</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mark volland</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Van Me...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matt Hastings</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randy Singer</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cory Sceva</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>john dale</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sh spencer</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makai Brusa...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Erickson...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob Benton</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Ciccotelli</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randy Palmer</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vern Stauss</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>don olson</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robb Sipler</td>
<td>Now is not t...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Vorhies</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Reeder</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raymond Be...</td>
<td>Allowing &quot;Kill...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>steven winn</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jim trover</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April H Mack</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return to gillnet fishing.

Jeff Nelson
Fri 10/18/201...
21 KB

Jeff Heintz
Fri 10/18/201...
20 KB

Matthew Danz
Fri 10/18/201...
20 KB

Dave Dunn
Fri 10/18/201...
20 KB

Marshall Co...
Fri 10/18/201...
18 KB

Mr. & Mrs. ...
Fri 10/18/201...
19 KB

Karl Keener
Fri 10/18/201...
20 KB

Daniel Quan
Fri 10/18/201...
18 KB

Donavan Aklin
Fri 10/18/201...
20 KB

Robert Gerdin
Fri 10/18/201...
20 KB

Terry Rost
Fri 10/18/201...
22 KB

Chris Hauth
Fri 10/18/201...
20 KB

Dave Skoubo
Fri 10/18/201...
20 KB

Henry Rolfs
Fri 10/18/201...
20 KB

Kenneth Reed
Fri 10/18/201...
20 KB

Jim Marquardt
Fri 10/18/201...
20 KB

Russell Sumida
Fri 10/18/201...
20 KB

Hans Blom
Fri 10/18/201...
22 KB

Robert Pal...
Fri 10/18/201...
20 KB

Roger Gerte...
Fri 10/18/201...
19 KB

Raymond Mc...
Fri 10/18/201...
20 KB

Robert Hylke
Fri 10/18/201...
20 KB

Mr. and Mrs. Frank...
Fri 10/18/201...
20 KB

I am a fishing tackle store owner and avid salmon and steelhead fisherman. I have spent many years

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please do not allow gill nets back into our shared waters on the Columbia River! These non selective nets will devastate our fishery.

Thank you,

Mr. & Mrs. Frank Price
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>SUBJECT</th>
<th>RECEIVED</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>CATEGORIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tim cloe</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Vichas</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger Whitman</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herman Flei</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grace Neff</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Garrett</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russ Elliott</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Peldyak</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sean Schau</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mike Gibson</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Under</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Sene</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerry Neme</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Ha</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ron Reed, J</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benson Lee</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEIL WORF</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cal Honl</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen Swanson</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs.</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosalie Sable</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Marl</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>SUBJECT</td>
<td>RECEIVED</td>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>CATEGORIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graeson Brown</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I have been fishing the Columbia for years and put countless dollars into programs to help improve...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David DeMain</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry Nowli</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Borke</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Unbelievable! I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duane Hawkins</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, if you don't protect and save the salmon and steelhead fishery... im gonna sell my place and move...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levi Morris</td>
<td>say goodbye...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, All sport fishermen who actually support odfw through licenses and purchasing all things tied to sport...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil Rieower</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, The sport fisherman have been paying to subsidize the gillnetters for almost 10 years now. This was...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Claboe</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracy Meskel</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, The Columbia River is no place for commercial nets, that indiscriminately kill! Why are we still having...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Long</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Please save our fish so that my children and grandchildren can enjoy the resources that they are...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Giroux</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Seet</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, We had you on our side a few years ago now you switched again. Won't you ever learn? Maybe we'll...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob Gibbs</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwight Roofe</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Miller</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Water</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Hoste</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Wright</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Culver</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs.</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul JABS</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Why am I paying $10 Columbia River endorsement? I thought it was to get the gill nets of the main...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Bashford</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FULL NAME</td>
<td>SUBJECT</td>
<td>RECEIVED</td>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>CATEGORIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEVIN CUN</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Del Carlo</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod Raney</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Fitzpatrick</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Martin</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Let's do the right thing. I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Lung</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger Wicklund</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I am ashamed of the ODFW, the Oregon legislature, and our Governor for allowing the gillnets to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Barnum</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Commission members should be held accountable for these poorly thought out decisions. Are my</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Clark</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Please do not ruin sport fisheries on the lower Columbia and renge on the previously agreed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Askey</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, A lot of misleading information on harvest of fish in SAFE areas. The plan to take gillnets off the main</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Yar</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Seaman</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Conner</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randy McAdie</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I have been an Oregonian my whole life (58 years) and only recently the last (20) an avid fisherman. I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Bette</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerry Mark</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Welli</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Breitling</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Housley</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I have been fishing the Columbia river since I was 5 yrs old. I am 73 now, and this was the worst year I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Terleski</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Keep gillnets off the columbia river. They kill way too many non target fish. I strongly oppose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Larion</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry Wilson</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, As a life long (68 year old) Oregonian, who has been fishing in Oregon since I was 5 years old, I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>SUBJECT</td>
<td>RECEIVED</td>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>CATEGORIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Ecke</td>
<td>Don't Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Please do not abandon Columbia river reforms. I will chose to not buy a license next year, if you do....</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOWARD B</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galand Haas</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandra Joos</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timothy Sch...</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Please don’t abandon our legacy, heritage and NW culture! More than ever we need to keep moving.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Zloteck</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs.</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Avolio</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>steve steven...</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Myers</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Elliott</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I am so disenchanted with the ODFW possible stepping backwards with regards, to our precious and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randy Hacks...</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Due to the kill nets my home river is done for have anything return it is the south Santiam river been</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Alsman</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, We have paid to eliminate nets and we keep getting screwed!! I strongly oppose proposals to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Zimme...</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor Perry</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen Stutheit</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George DesB...</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmund Kee...</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, It is incomprehensible to me that ODFW or WDFW would even consider opening any commercial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl Harper</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Gerold</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Just don’t understand why we are supposed to be a democratic government yet a small minority has</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Daschel</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I am absolutely astonished that ODFW commissioners would even consider putting non selective gill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arne Hanel</td>
<td>Don’t Aband</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>SUBJECT</td>
<td>RECEIVED</td>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>CATEGORIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Les Fahey</td>
<td>Please Ban G...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I retired from</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>public accounting 20 years ago and have</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>observed a steady decline in the Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Arce</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brett Gesh</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Burgi</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Wood</td>
<td>NO Columbia...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Please use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>your knowledge and sense to keep proposed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gillnets out of the mainstem Columbia. This</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>is</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Fineran</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debra Rehn</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Raines</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Darst</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, It is hard to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>believe that we are revisiting this issue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in light of the very poor fish returns of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the last</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Nored</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Harkins</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Koki</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Stop the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gillnetting all I saw this year while</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>boating on the river was dead floating fish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>from gillnetters.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darrell Kron</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Rat</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Last year 37 wild</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fish made it back to my river. Let's not</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>let that number fall to zero. I strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oppose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randy Laws</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Aub</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linh Tran</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I take my 7 year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>old son and his cousins fishing quite a bit.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>With the lack of fish, it's making it more</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHARLES P...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Our runs continue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to decline even though we put more fish from</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hatcheries in every year. Gillnets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Buelna</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, We're suppose to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>be an environmental state but yet we're</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>allowing Gillnets on the Columbia River.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randy Klettke</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I find it shocking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>that you would even consider such actions!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Why do I continue to support this system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Holen</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas Rich</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>SUBJECT</td>
<td>RECEIVED</td>
<td>SIZE</td>
<td>CATEGORIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Cargni</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyson Reed</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Crisp</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Buch</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Dunn</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Conservation of our natural resources produces sustained trills!!! Rolling back Columbia River Reforms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isaac Yanez</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. ...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troy Kalhar</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, What was the point of all of us sport fishers to pay for an endorsement that is not doing what it was</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Catto</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I have been buying angling licenses in this state for over 50 years. If this proceeds that will stop. My</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David vaupel</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stan McClain</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, At 67 years old, I am a lifelong fisherman who wants nothing more than to pass along this wonderful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Wilh...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Please listen to the sportsmen who pay for the licenses that pay your wages. Help protect our</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel vanek</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shane Milburn</td>
<td>Columbia Riv...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim Roelandt</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>21 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillip Roberts</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I have been an Oregonian for my whole life and do not approve of the way that this problem has been</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harold John</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>22 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mrs. ...</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>20 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justin Denfeld</td>
<td>Gilnetting in...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Maybe once upon a time, when the technology used to net fish was that of a man with a canoe and a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Samples</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, Why do you as a body (ODFW) continually work against the sport fishing industry in favor of the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Huber</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>19 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I've fished the Columbia for 35 years. I've personally witnessed gillnet boats in action. There have been</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randy Bonds</td>
<td>Don't Aband...</td>
<td>Fri 10/18/201...</td>
<td>18 KB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dear ODFW Commissioners, I have seen similar restrictions work while living in Alaska. Commercial fisheries have benefited those</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return to past practices.

Best regards,

[Name]
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I can’t believe we are still dealing with gill nets. They need to be removed from our rivers for good. I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Mark Herndon
Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Joshua Taylor
Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

DENNIS MC
Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, when fishing is down in numbers its hard enough to catch a salmon to eat without the nets cleaning.

Pat Grealish
Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Jeffrey Bro... Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Mark Duray
Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Jerry Vaughn
Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 18 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, Years of Columbia river endorsement fees? and now this? if the Gillnetters and Oregon and

Douglas Bou... Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 21 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Chad Troutman
Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 19 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I was hoping our new commissioners would be smarter than the last ones, why would you want to put

John Hall
Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 19 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, We have been paying extra to get the nets out. If they are allowed back in it may be time to do a class.

Dennis Price
Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Joseph Reed
Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Thomas Brown
Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

John Barnum
Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

John Zell
Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

morgan feth... Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 19 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

William Sch... Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 22 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

Jeff Frenette
Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.

James Kennedy
Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, Do not allow gill-netting on Columbia main stream, as agreed to in 2013/2014. I strongly oppose

William Bostick
Don’t Aband... Fri 10/18/201... 20 KB
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return.
FROM  | SUBJECT  | RECEIVED  | SIZE  | CATEGORIES
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Robert Ryan | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 20 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Gregory Joh... | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 20 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Jason Hicks... | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 22 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Donald Willi... | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 20 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Mitch Hopping | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 20 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

William Hewes | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 20 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Carey Allison | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 20 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Craig Mostul | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 20 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Jean-Pierre... | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 22 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

FRANKLIN... | DO NOT AL... | Fri 10/18/201... | 20 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Martin Falk | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 20 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Ryan Hubel | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 20 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Russell Step... | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 20 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, Stick to your commitment. I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River

Barry Mogus | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 20 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Dave Bauer | Kilnets shoul... | Fri 10/18/201... | 19 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, The nets kill without care to any fish! I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia

Thomas Jones | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 20 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Frank Unger | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 20 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

William Mac... | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 20 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Jerome Fre... | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 21 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Chris Cone | Don’t Aband... | Fri 10/18/201... | 20 KB |
Dear ODFW Commissioners, I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return

Kari Walling... | Columbia Riv... | Wed 10/16/20... | 256 KB |
Dear Oregon and Washington Commissioners, Please see the attached letter from CCA Oregon and CCA Washington concerning

Richard Pres... | Confronting 1... | Tue 10/15/20... | 23 KB |
First of all, let me thank you for easy access via email. Washington’s “Contact Us” does not give any email address for opinions, etc.
Can somebody on the commission or at ODFW explain why the Columbia was closed to recreation salmon fishing and open to
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

It simply amazes me that we spend billions of dollars trying to protect and improve the Columbia Basin's dwindling runs of Salmon and Steelhead and at the same time we still allow these same fish we are trying to protect to be harvested by archaic indiscriminate means to bolster the mini economy of a few commercial fishermen. The wild salmon and steelhead belong to all citizens of Oregon, Washington and Idaho, not just a few who don't want to change their harvest methods. It is time for our legislatures to develop a backbone and stand up for the fish of the Columbia Basin and tell the gill netters NO and support the agreements reached by the tri-States and tribes to move to off channel harvest with newer technology for commercial harvest.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Alan Cochran
37575 NW Harrison Rd
Banks, OR 97106
acochran6@frontier.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Our steelhead and salmon runs are right on the edge please give them every chance to rebound!!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Albert Larrea
492 S 5th St
Lebanon, OR 97355
albertlarrea@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please do not do this and move away from this. We will oppose any of these movements and fight for what we think is right for the river, fellow sportswoman and man and not to forgot the FISH and creatures itself. Have you seen the creatures caught and injured with this kind of netting and can you imagine how it is to slowly suffocate??? Think about the consequences when implementing adjustments

Andreas

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Andreas Grob
640 NE 160th Ave Apt Portland
Portland, OR 97230
flyfischer@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

In 2012, measure 81 was abandoned because sports fisherman and conservationists were promised by the Oregon State governor that a bi-state fishery reform would be more effective way to stop non-selective gillnetting on the main stem Columbia and phase out gillnetting over a 4 year period by the end of 2016, which now has extended 3 more years of gillnetting,, because the new governor appointed an active gillnetter and gillnet lobbyist to the Oregon State fish commission, which she promised that she would take him off the commission if he tried to dismantle the bi-state reforms. She relived Buckmaster of his position on the commission but not until he lobbied for proposals to abandon the bi-state reforms. It is your duty to do what is best for the majority of our Oregon State residents and our State economy and uphold the reforms voted on by our Legislators. If you are not sure what is best for Oregon's economy, please start by contacting my district Senator, Laurie Monnes Anderson and Senator Girod. Thank you for your time and I hope there is still time remaining before our salmon runs go extinct.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Betty Armstrong
PO Box 322
Fairview, OR 97024
dany-betty@hotmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Hello- my name is Bill Monroe Jr. I’m writing to please ask NOT to increase or even to return to put more gillnetting back on the mainstem Columbia River.

Without question- I definitely oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting or its strong presence of influence on our region, we must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum while making a significant increase in hatchery production as well. This is how we as humans are going to continue to make this region of the world thrive and be so unique.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant past and present effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits. EVER...

I urge you to listen to the majority of the people in Oregon and strongly reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation. This is so very important. NO more non-treaty gillnetting in the mainstem of the mighty Columbia River.

Thank you for your time and careful reading of the message.

Bill Monroe Jr
502-702-4028

Sincerely,

Bill Monroe Jr
14292 Forsythe Rd
Oregon City, OR 97045
billmonroeoutdoors@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I’ve fished for salmon and steelhead in Oregon 40+ years, and have witnessed first hand the steady decline of our fisheries. The amount of money that I alone have injected into Oregon's economy through license fees, tackle, fuel, motels, is a substantial number. Our primary goal should be recovery, otherwise none of us will be fishing, commercially or sport.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Blake Belveal
26165 Old Holley Rd
Sweet Home, OR 97386
blakeb@westcoastindustrial.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please stop funding the ODFW on the backs of the Sportsmen while allowing NETS to indiscriminately destroy native fish runs.

We totally oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

We urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Brad Parr
5393 SW Wichita St
Tualatin, OR 97062
bkparr@msn.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Can the states just do what they said they would instead of constantly lying to the public.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Brian Christensen
10655 S Macksburg Rd
Canby, OR 97013
bcfishon@live.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Putting gill nets back into the main stem Columbia, will be a disastrous decision for the future of such a great river. I don’t want to wait till the fish are gone before this gill net battle ends.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Brian Mills
5038 NE Going St
Portland, OR 97218
bmills53@msn.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please do not go back to the archaic, indiscriminate methods of harvest. Keep the Columbia River reforms whole.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington’s Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon’s endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Brooks EILERTSON
20982 SW Trails End Dr
Sherwood, OR 97140
bg.eilertson@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I was in a hogline near Kalama when a gillnet boat motored right through all the hoglines at speed.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Bruce McGavin
13149 SE Pennywood Ct
Milwaukie, OR 97222
megavinski@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I write to you as an avid Oregon fisherman and retired biologist.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Bruce Williams
601 Seabird Dr SW
Bandon, OR 97411
bewilliams16@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I am a sport fisherman who has invested a significant amount of money into this activity and I find it offensive that the State would even consider the use of “killnets”. Going forward, I’m passing the word to as many voters as I can to make this a consideration during election time. Guaranteed this item will sway my vote.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Bryan Buckalew
22943 SW Boones Ferry Rd
Tualatin, OR 97062
bryan.buckalew@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

We did away with market hunting of our elk, deer, waterfowl, etc. Over a century ago. Why are we unable to do the same for our aquatic species?

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Bryan Mulligan
206 Wetherald St
Wenatchee, WA 98801
fishnhunt13@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

To save some money, the damage done is often irreversible. Please don't make the same mistake here.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Cary Rhode
2949 Lilac St
Longview, WA 98632
caryrhode@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

The recommendations by the joint state work group, which is stacked with commercial fishing advocates, goes against the intent of the Columbia River Reforms.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Chad Price
16965 SW Marcile Ln
Beaverton, OR 97007
chad985@hotmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I was hoping our new commissioners would be smarter than the last ones, why would you want to put gill nets back in our river to kill our endangered salmon and steelhead, their is no selective harvest with gill nets they kill every fish that goes in it please use your brains and ban gill nets for good on the Columbia River.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Chad Troutman
1146 N Madison St
Lafayette, OR 97127
gonefishn4fun@hotmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Our runs continue to decline even though we put more fish from hatcheries in every year. Gillnets catch more fish in a day than we sportsmen catch all season. The reforms are needed to give us more fish.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

CHARLES PARKER
4125 Hays Dr
Hood River, OR 97031
charlesparker2@embarqmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Non selective gill netting for salmon on the Columbia river is not sustainable!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Chellie Smietana
206 Wetherald St
Wenatchee, WA 98801
chelliesmietana@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I have lived and fished in this state some 60 years. I was so hopeful for all of the Columbia river when these reforms were placed in effect. Do not abandon these reforms.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Clifford Collins
58610 S Bachelor Flat Rd
Warren, OR 97053
cliffordjcollins@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Allowing nets back on the main body of the Columbia not only affects the Columbia River fishery but it would also negatively affect the tributary fisheries which includes my home river which has already taken hits the last few years due to the bad ocean conditions and the Alaskan net fishery. SAVE OUR SPORT FISHING

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Cory Sceva
85131 Cloverdale Rd
Creswell, OR 97426
corysceva@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

The reality here, is the O.D.F.W and State of Oregon, is (Guilty), of Domestic Terrorism !! After, We The People, voted against everything your doing. The people involved, in these matters, will be punished as such. When you take from, all the people, to benefit the few. Hopefully, some people will catch on, and take matters into their own hands. And your own genetic lines will disappear, just like our fish populations !!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Craig Malinoff
2285 May Ln
Lebanon, OR 97355
cyncam@outlook.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I am one of thousands in Oregon who contributes large amounts of my hard earned pay check to the local fishing industry every year! I am a "Sport Fisherman"! Why do we need "Gillnets"? A simple question! The truth is we don't! Not for restaurants, grocery stores, or any other large retailers needs! "Fair Chase" in every aspect of fish and game gathering and should be the norm!

It is not fair chase to destroy a fishery for the benefit of just a few! The Columbia River is not private. It is in the "Public Domain" and should remain this way!

Let the "Gillnetters" use long line legal commercial fishing tactics. There harvest will still be large but won't indiscriminately kill other species. Mass hunting and fishing has a very bloody and horrific history in the United States! We have many extinct species because of these practices.

Let's not continue to brush up next to this terrible history in our state!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Dale Carper
1900 NE 137th Ave
Portland, OR 97230
Turkeydale@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Highway robbery! That is how I see it. Wa/OR fish and game gladly accepted my money for Columbia River Enhancement to put commercial nets into estuaries and out of the Columbia main stem. Every year we have been PAYINY a fee for this to happen. Now WA/ORDFW want to keep the money and resume past practices. Shame on you. Thou Shalt Not Steal. If this is for real I will no longer buy a recreational fishing license.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Dale Hewitt
52315 SW 4th St
Scappoose, OR 97056
dandphewitt@outlook.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

The Columbia River Endorsement for sport-fishing must not be wasted. Too much money has been stolen from sport fishing enthusiasts and Fishing Guides for a reversal of promises.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Dale Lyster
1026 NW Alder Creek Dr
Corvallis, OR 97330
lyster1@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Do your job, let the sportfishing community have some fish. Get rid of the gillnets and crank up the hatcheries.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Dale Wolford
62767 Asla Ln
La Grande, OR 97850
wolforddk@hotmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I have been fishing in Oregon for about 65 years, I have fished less this year because of low fish counts and season closings than I ever remember.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Dan Grumbling
9682 SW Whispering Fir Dr
Beaverton, OR 97007
dgrumbl1@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

You have no right to destroy our fish runs with your poor decisions which historically have destroyed Salmon fisheries in our state and through out our world. How can you even consider any kind of nets to kill our fish and leave nothing for our children and our future!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Dan Holmes
11025 SW Wintu Ct
Tualatin, OR 97062
dholmesbuilder@aol.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

It is our duty to encourage and enforce responsible fishing processes that will ensure survival of salmon/steelhead/sturgeon on the Columbia, Willamette and their tributaries for future generations. Why on earth would anyone entertain the idea of reverting to past commercial fishing practices that do not support these ideals? What possible benefit could there be to the future of the Columbia/Willamette Fisheries by abandoning the bi-state Columbia River fisheries reforms? Isn't it the job of the ODFW & WDFW to "manage" these fisheries, to secure their future? We need some accountability!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Daniel Erceg
50900 Dike Rd Slip P
Scappoose, OR 97056
djerceg@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I am a long time (since 1970) Salem, Oregon resident. I have been an active outdoorsman ever since establishing my residence here. I believe in stewardship of our land and resources. I believe we all, as individual and groups, need to be good stewards of our lands and resources. Recently, I think there has been a movement by some to turn away from that stewardship of our resources.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Daniel Quanbeck
380 23rd St SE
Salem, OR 97301
dan@agglass.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Salmon and steelhead returns to the Columbia River are at an all time low. Reversing Columbia River Reforms will further gut a sustainable commercial, Indian and sports fishery. Reconsider a balanced and even approach for all that partake in the Columbia Fishery and it's tributes.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Daniel RAMMING
14760 SW Filly Pl
Terrebonne, OR 97760
djramming@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

If protecting our native salmon and steelhead species is our goal, allowing gillnets in the lower Columbia simply makes no sense. Non-selective harvest methods will not have a positive effect on reaching this goal.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington’s Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon’s endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Dave Coleman
1608 NE Glisan St
Portland, OR 97232
roam503@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I am strongly recommending not going forward with the initiative to bring back year round gillnetting. Several years ago, we supported the move away from gillnetting by paying for the Columbia River Endorsement. Now, politics and self interest appear to be in play and you are considering reversing the course. Non-selective gillnetting is bad for our salmon and steelhead, both of which are rapidly declining in numbers. The state of our salmon/steelhead are in jeopardy and we need to protect this resource from those who profit from it. Please consider not rolling back the clock, but moving forward to protect our resources and fisheries.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Dave Hendrie
5681 SE 32nd St
Gresham, OR 97080
lt.ridgehunter@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I have been buying angling licenses in this state for over 50 years. If this proceeds that will stop. My extended family are all fisherman, they too are at the end of it with these types of decisions.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

David Catto
PO Box 314
Gladstone, OR 97027
catto555@msn.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I am absolutely astonished that ODFW commissioners would even consider putting non selective gill nets in the Columbia river.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for Fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

David Daschel
7302 SW 60th Ave
Portland, OR 97219
fishhog1@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I have fished in Oregon lakes, rivers and bays for over 23 years and have enjoyed the many benefits of well managed fisheries. I strongly urge not allowing non-selective gill nets to operate in the Columbia River.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

David McNeill
3008 NW Povey Ave
Terrebonne, OR 97760
fishineer@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Who is promoting this? What would they gain? What would we all lose?

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Dean Sigler
18845 SW Vista St
Beaverton, OR 97003
muchcatfur@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Gillnetters do not belong in any Oregon rivers period. The gillnetters and Sea Lions can do their business in the Pacific Ocean, and the sea lions can get their meals in the Pacific Ocean also. Someone needs to protect our fishing.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Dennis Buchanan
693 FAIRWOOD CRES
WOODBURN, OR 97071
Arbuckle46@msn.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

when fishing is down in numbers its hard enough to catch a salmon to eat without the nets cleaning the fish out of the rivers, I have gone fishing day after gill nets where in and found nothing to catch.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

DENNIS MCINTOSH
2552 Piper Cub Ln
Newberg, OR 97132
SCOT8787@YAHOO.COM
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please take my opinion and request to heart. Gill nets have done enough damage to our Columbia River fish stocks. Gill nets have taken the big fish from our stock and left little ones that get through the nets to breed only smaller fish. Stop gill netting in the main stream of the Columbia River!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Don Erickson
24800 E Arrah Wanna Blvd
Welches, OR 97067
donerickson888@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

we continue to support our fisheries and the communities we visit. yet you hold gill netters desires above the recreational fisherman. tired of seeing dead fish float by caused by the netters. its about time you make them the support system you desire because you are killing the industry and the towns we visit

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

don olson
15500 SE Wallace Rd
Portland, OR 97267
donolson@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

The fishery is already poor, please don't abandon what we have and make it worse. I didn't catch one keeper this year.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Donald Claeys
19813 NW Metolius Dr
Portland, OR 97229
doncslugs@frontier.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

We had you on our side a few years ago now you switched again. Won’t you ever learn? Maybe we’ll take it too the voters and this time we won’t settle.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Donald Seethaler
131 NE 131st Pl
Portland, OR 97230
donolook@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please listen to the sportsmen who pay for the licenses that pay your wages. Help protect our endangered fish. The gillnetters are here for today and to hell with tomorrow!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Donald Wilhelm
1706 SE Jackson Park Rd
Troutdale, OR 97060
dswilhelm@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

I do consider this one of my primary issues when voting. The economic benefits of sport fishing far outweigh the meager economic return on the gill net fishery. The economic benefits of sport fishing are spread out over a large group of guides, sporting goods retailers and manufactures and far exceed the meager returns of gill netters. Just check the tax returns.

Sincerely,

Douglas Cushman
3991 Calaroga Cir
West Linn, OR 97068
docushman1012@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Gillnets capture native salmon, not just hatchery raised salmon. Increasing commercial use of gillnets on the Columbia runs counter to the important (and federally mandated) goal of increasing the populations of endangered salmon and coho populations. conservation and restoration to return them to sustainable levels. I strongly urge you to reject any proposed that would cause additional takings of endangered salmon. Thank you, in advance, for your consideration of these comments.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Douglas DuPriest
225 Dartmoor Dr
Eugene, OR 97401
dupriest@aol.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

For states that pride themselves on wildlife conservation, I am astounded that Oregon and Washington are considering gillnets at all. Please, take some bold steps and stand strong against this type of fishing that kills all species indiscriminately.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Douglas Massingill
2440 Old Dalles Dr
Hood River, OR 97031
d_massingill@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Commercial Gill netting in the main stream of the Columbia reform needs to stand firm. With decreasing fish runs, there is no reason that anything but decreased Gill netting should be the only change that should be made. Gill netting is a out dated industry, from a time when fish runs were more abundant. The only change that needs to be made, is to shut it down completely.

Please take into consideration the impact that abandoning the current reforms will impact fish runs.
Thank you

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Dylan Gollehon
6324 NE Sumner St
Portland, OR 97218
gollehons@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

It is incomprehensible to me that ODFW or WDFW would even consider opening any commercial salmon fishing on the Columbia river. All sport fishing has been cancelled for salmon. What in God's name are you thinking? There was a deal made several years ago - STICK TO THE ORIGINAL PROPOSAL.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Edmund Keene
17280 NW Davidson Rd
Banks, OR 97106
keene5621@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Maybe the Oregon Sportsman should boycott fishing & hunting for a year and see how the State funds the ODFW

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Edward Edgerton
6997 SE Scenic Dr
Prineville, OR 97754
trudy@crestviewcable.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

You can’t really be considering non-selective fishing our dwindling salmon stocks. No one could be that foolish!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Edward Rabinowe
34201 Big Meadow Ln
Deer Island, OR 97054
ERabinowe@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

How many times must we sport fishermen attempt to block you folks from giving a few hundred Gill netters what tens of thousands of sports fishermen have paid for? Talk about rape, and we don’t even get a kiss. I’m 82 years old and have fished the Columbia since my teens and the seasons and catch allowance you folks allow the sports fishermen is beyond appalling.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Elmer Green
10300 SW Denney Rd
Beaverton, OR 97008
cracknoon@aol.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Gillnets are size specific and have been removing larger fish since the 1800's. True recovery cannot start until they are gone.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Eric Anderson
1450 River Bend Rd NW
Salem, OR 97304
pugarama@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

You are cutting salmon fishing seasons and limits due to lack of fish returning. This only makes the matter worse!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Eric Neal
1550 Monterey Ave
Eugene, OR 97401
eric.neal66@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

So many of us work so hard and are so passionate about fishing catching so fewer and fewer fish and less opportunity to get out on the water is disheartening. Please stop the wholesale pillaging of our precious resource. Thanks.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Fred Ciccotelli
449 SW Alderwood Dr
West Linn, OR 97068
fred.ciccotelli@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

As a sports angler I have the ability to retain or release any fish I catch. Gillnets or KILLNETS as I call them do not have this capability. We need to "LIMIT YOUR KILL NOT KILL YOUR LIMIT" PLEASE abide

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Gary Holbrook
PO Box 782
Beavercreek, OR 97004
holbrk@aol.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please use your knowledge and sense to keep proposed gillnets out of the mainstem Columbia. This is dangerous to fish, dishonest to the original bi-state agreement and opposes policy already enacted by the legislature. Why on earth does this keep coming up? Do you want to be in the spotlight when someone finally says “follow the money”?

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Gary Wood
18305 Timbergrove Ct
Lake Oswego, OR 97035
geedub@heartvet.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

I'm personally disappointed in these agencies even considering the change. The PNW steelhead and salmon have enough challenges in regard to making it back to their home rivers. Also, make the tribes use better means of fishing that are selective to protect steelhead and other fish species. They need to limit their catch dramatically during these times.

Sincerely,

George DesBrisay
78471 Agnew Rd
Hermiston, OR 97838
desbrisg@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Stop the gillnetting all I saw this year while boating on the river was dead floating fish from gillnetters.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

George Kokinidis
690 NE Jackson School Rd
Hillsboro, OR 97124
koking411@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I, like many others, have been paying for the Columbia River Basin Endorsement for several years. I'm still being required to purchase this endorsement. As part of the deal, promises were made to eliminate mainstem Columbia River gillnetting. I expect those promises to be kept. Show some integrity. Keep your word. Indiscriminate gillnetting over mixed stocks, some endangered, is not only irresponsible. It is unsustainable and stupid.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

George Krumm
327 SE Regan Hill Loop
Estacada, OR 97023
gkrumm@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I have been fishing the Columbia for years and put countless dollars into programs to help improve salmon runs. I believe gillnetting is hurting the already suffering runs and so not after with these proposed changes.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Graeson Brown
32075 NE Corral Creek Rd
Newberg, OR 97132
graesonb84@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Dear ODFW. Please consider the where the majority of the funding for ODFW comes from and make the right decisions to support recreational fisheries in the state of Oregon and work strongly with our friends to the North to insure they do the same. Supporting the non selective gillnetters, most of whom have second jobs, is ridiculous in these times of Dams, Sea Lions, etc.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Grant James
2830 White Salmon Ct
West Linn, OR 97068
grant@pacificfoursales.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

You have taken $10 a year from my to fish in any tributary of the Columbia river for these reforms. Please do not back pedal and also give me an account of where the money from this endorsement was spent.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Greg Fair
3423 Jones St
Newberg, OR 97132
fairga14@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

The voters were scammed. We should have never compromised on this and voted these damn nets out of all rivers for ever!!!!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Greg McMillan
PO BOX 911
WILLAMINA, OR 97396
Gmac_nw@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I am a life long Oregonian. The idea of allowing Gillnetters back on the main stem Columbia is not using available science. Steelhead, Chinook and Coho have been under tremendous pressure through environmental issues and over fishing. Gillnets are killing endangered Steelhead, Chinook, Coho and not to mention Sturgeon in the mighty Columbia.

Please put and end to this unwanted, unnecessary fishing menace. Save our fisheries on the Columbia River before it is too late. END NOW, GILLNETS ON THE COLUMBIA RIVER.

Greg Ostrom

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Greg Ostrom
5019 Daniel St S
Salem, OR 97306
occiabc@cs.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Instead of non selectively harvest, let the commercials' use hook and line. Lets make smart decisions on saving select runs of fish. A wild Columbia fish goes back. An endangered B run Clearwater 20lb steelhead is released. Lets work on ideas that help. An idea by the Indians just came out. Taking out the three lower Columbia river dams sounds great but won't happen until we transition to renewable energy. Hook and line fishing is effect enough to have commercials making their money. The sport fleet is the future and the best source of money for ODFW.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Greg Peldyak
2619 Montello Ave
Hood River, OR 97031
peldyak@aol.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I've retired to OR for its' iconic fishery. And ready to move to New Zealand (with my money), for their great King Salmon runs. They LAUGH at Oregon!!! Who gave them the smolts & technology to introduce them to a new part of the world. And we can't keep the world's largest Salmon fishery safe from extinction! Personal agendas (SUSPECTED not PROVEN negative epigenitic effects of hatchery fish & Gill nets) have driven these populations to near extinction.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Greg Spanos
2990 Eliot Dr
Hood River, OR 97031
cnrgreg@charter.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

ODFW Commissioners

I am now almost 80 years old and have seen the steady decline of the salmon and Steelhead runs. It is so incomprehensible to me that the ODFW, having become a mindless extension of Governor Kate Brown's disastrous anti environmental policies, as they implement the total return of her non selective gillnetting at a time that our salmon stocks are nose diving. Anybody can see that in the not too distant future there will be no more salmon.

Why are you promoting these disastrous policies given that the gillnet industry brings almost no tax revenue in the state coffers, it is non-selective .... gillnets kill! While sport fishing and the associated industries, like: boat manufacturing, engine sales, lure and fishing equipment manufacturers, hotels, restaurants, airlines, fishing guides, all of these benefit the state. If the ODFW would promote sport fishing and support the construction of hatcheries, stream enhancement to promote spawning, A world class fishery on the Columbia and its tributaries is possible. Would you please reverse course and so instead of favoring and supporting a dead industry like gill netting that damages all salmon and Steelhead runs, and instead promote sound management of our salmon and steelhead runs. Please, please before it is too late!

Sincerely

Hans Blom

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Hans Blom
13505 SE River Rd
Portland, OR 97222
hansandlois@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Also it doesn’t make any sense to possibly kill 10 fish to keep one hatchery fish should be able to keep the first two fish you catch

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Jack Gaston
17865 SE Vogel Rd
Damascus, OR 97089
jackjg007@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I am so disenchanted with the ODFW possible stepping backwards with regards, to our precious and valuable fisheries resources of the Columbia River. i.e. the reinstating of non-selective gillnets in the main body of the Columbia River. Jesus, look at the history of our dwindling, once magnificent fisheries resource of our beloved river. Please don't sell out our resources, for the few moneyed interests, acting against families of sportsmen and the true lovers of the Great Columbia.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

james elliott
61541 Sunny Breeze Ln
Bend, OR 97702
elliott@bendcable.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

The sportsmen have been drastically restricted in our quest for salmon and steelhead due to low runs this year, and yet you are considering letting gillnets return to the Columbia River. This is both ridiculous and outrageous!!! This will only benefit the gillnetters and greatly put in peril the future runs of salmon and steelhead in the Columbia river!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

James Harvey
2448 NW 15th St
Redmond, OR 97756
jjharvs@centurylink.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I have been fishing the Columbia river since I was 5 yrs old. I am 73 now, and this was the worst year I have ever seen for fishing for salmon in the river. All because of the gillnets and their non selective fishing methods. Please keep the nets out of the river.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

James Housley
68536 Defrates Rd
Rainier, OR 97048
j.housley@live.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Dear ODFW Commissioners:
I am the former Environmental Manager for the Bonneville Power Administration. For decades we worked diligently with ODFW, WDFW, CRITFC, and other stakeholders throughout the region and provided hundreds of millions of dollars in funding to improve habitat throughout the Columbia system and to help restore the ever dwindling salmon and steelhead runs. We are now starting to see improved results from the efforts of hundreds of people and thousands of hours of work to this end. It is unconscionable that the Oregon and Washington Fish and Wildlife Commissions even consider, let alone approve, restoring commercial gillnet fishing on the Columbia system. This indiscriminate method of harvesting fish does great harm to untargeted species and wild fish as well. If we are to improve our fisheries so that generations to come will be able to enjoy the expenditures of dollars and effort spent to restore our salmon and steelhead runs you must not approve gillnet fishing on the Columbia at this time. It will take years before the fish runs are strong enough to withstand such an onslaught. You do not want to be responsible for undoing all the work and investment that has gone into the attempt to restore strong fish returns. I realize that the gillnet industry lobbies hard to get the Commissions to allow them to gillnet in the Columbia, but the Commission has a greater obligation to the people of the region to push back and support fish restoration instead. Please ensure that your legacy is one that had led to the improvement of fish in the Pacific Northwest rather than one that leads to diminishing runs to the point of cessation of fishing altogether. By voting against gillnet fishing at this time you are ensuring them of the possibility of that method of fishing being considered whenever runs are strong enough to withstand the impacts it brings.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon and steelhead populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon and steelhead within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon and steelhead back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

James Kehoe
1707 NW 29th Ave
Portland, OR 97210
jmkehoe@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Do not allow gill-netting on Columbia main stream, as agreed to in 2013/2014.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

James Kennedy
13940 SW Lisa Ln
Beaverton, OR 97005
jearl43@hotmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Commissioners of the ODFW,
I can't believe that this still continues to be bantered between OR and WA as a practice on the Columbia River.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

James Myers
PO Box 305
Dundee, OR 97115
jbradmyers@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Your proposed action to abandon these previous reforms is just plain wrong, and I think you know it. There are thousands of Oregon and Washington citizens that know it as well and we are going to fight to stop you. Luckily we now have the CCA to speak for us and I for one intend to increase my financial support to help them.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

James Russell
1691 SW Reed Pl
Corvallis, OR 97333
Jim.R.Russell@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I am stunned when ODFW makes decisions that further decreases recreational fisheries. Most of the people I know spend hundreds of dollars for every salmon they catch that is important to the Oregon economy and to funding of ODFW through license fees. Commercial fishing does not have as big of an economic impact and is not as selective in protecting native fisheries.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Jason Renoud
PO Box 335
Scotts Mills, OR 97375
jason.renoud@aol.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Prioritizing commercial non-selective gillnet fishing is bad policy and bad science. It endangers many species which have been sustained and even restored with sport fishing dollars. Not to mention money’s poured into local economy’s from sport fishing.

From a sport fisherman’s perspective, I’m also tired of paying licenses and tag fees which are earmarked for enhancing habitat, restoration and management only to have seasons and waters closed virtually eliminating angling opportunity. What exactly is the Columbia River Endorsement for? This is simply bad policy backed by special interest and set by weak agencies ignoring science and common sense.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Jeff Freund
21150 Wilderness Way
Bend, OR 97702
jeffefreund@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Commercial salmon fishing began depleting Columbia River salmon numbers with the advent of canning in the 1860s. By the 1890s, many salmon stocks were disappearing due to over harvest. the building of dams compounded the problem. Despite the listing of certain salmon stocks as endangered or threatened, the gill net industry seeks to compound the problem. The time for commercial salmon fishing on the Columbia River is over - it will end now with the banning of gill nets or end with salmon extinction. It is time to end gill netting now.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

JEFFREY HULL
17910 NW Elk Meadow Ln
Portland, OR 97229
jjhull11@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

We all know that gillnets kill indiscriminately, so why is this continuing to be brought forth for reconsideration? Let them go the way of the dinosaurs already. No one wants to put people out of work, but gillnets are only going to continue adding nails to this industries coffin. These fish populations are continuing to plummet, and to think that business as usual is to the commercial guys benefit is just plain, shortsighted stupidity.

With the money wasted on both sides of this argument, every commercial boat on the Columbia could have been re-equipped with modern, selective alternatives by now. Help these guys transition towards a sustainable future, or help retrain them to do something else. Either they cannot afford the upgrade and are stuck between a rock and a hard place, trying to provide for a living, or they don't care about their impact. Either way they must know in their hearts that gillnet practices are wrong, both logically and morally.

Salmonids cannot speak for themselves, so it is up to us to stand up for their survival in the face of the monumental disadvantages they face. Climate change, deforestation which leads to the loss of breeding habitat, deteriorating ocean conditions, the assault on hatchery production, over-fishing and indiscriminate netting practices (etc., etc.) combine to form a pretty bleak future for them to ever make the comeback we have hoped for.

As the stewards for our fish, it is up to you to help them any way you can. Please stand up for the reforms that have been made in a loud, solid voice that will get the point across once and for all that gillnets are through here forever.

Remember that the vast, vast majority of us are rooting for our fish.

So.....

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.
Sincerely,

Jeffrey Monaco
PO Box 26
Tillamook, OR 97141
jeff@flamingojimsgifts.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

This is the second year in a row that ODFW has thrown me off the river before the good Chinook fishing even began. I caught ZERO Chinook salmon in the Columbia this year and only one Coho. Why? Because the salmon runs are so poor that sport fishing had to be cancelled. Add to this the terrible Spring Chinook runs in the Willamette River the last three years and I ask you why I even to bother buying my salmon and steelhead tag anymore. Now I hear that you plan on restoring year-round commercial gillnetting to the Columbia. The members of the Commission are hopelessly corrupt and compromised. You don't care about the resource and you don't care about the sport fishermen who pay the lion's share of the fees to the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. You need to start figuring out who is buttering your bread and quit catering the freeloading commercial gillnetters who are being given a public resource for profit and who are doing great harm to the fish runs in the Columbia. You take our money and spit in our face. The Columbia River Endorsement which sport fishermen paid for years was outright robbery. We should all be reimbursed for the money you stole from us. You took the money from us under false pretense and gave it to our mortal enemy. As far as I am concerned you are a bunch of criminals. I don't even pretend to be politically correct and diplomatic any more. Corrupt members of the Commission and of ODFW need to be removed once and for all. This has gone on long enough. My money, my voice and my vote counts. Commercial gillnetting in the mainstem Columbia must end now. It is time to stand up for the resource and what is right.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Jerry Nemer
3876 Kenthorpe Way
West Linn, OR 97068
jnemer@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Years of Columbia river endorsement fees? and now this? if the Gillnetters and Oregon and Washington want gillnets returned then they have to rear more fish in our fish hatcheries, being selective of only hatchery fish

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Jerry Vaughn
29266 SE Wheeler Rd
Boring, OR 97009
jdv@nwnatural.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

ODFW, Don't allow the positive changes that had been made to be reversed. Gillnets are not discriminatory and adversely impact our mainstem fisheries. We've worked hard to get this method restricted and more needs to be done.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Jim Marquardt
53680 McKay Dr
Scappoose, OR 97056
joemarquardt55@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Keep gillnets off the Columbia River. They kill way too many non-target fish.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Joe Terleski
2285 Ellen Ln NW
Salem, OR 97304
joeterleski@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Conservation of our natural resources produces sustained trills!!!Rolling back Columbia River Reforms is diametrically opposed to this philosophy!!Do the right thing!!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

John Dunn
3704 SW Dakota St
Portland, OR 97221
jackdunnpsu@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

We have been paying extra to get the nets out. If they are allowed back in it may be time to do a class action lawsuit either continue with original plan or pay back all monies to sport fishermen and women whom have paid into the agreement!
I understand you have to try and please both sides but an agreement is just that and if you can not stay with it then your work is worthless!
John Hall long time fisherman and volunteer.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

John Hall
1423 SE Anspach St
Milwaukie, OR 97267
wolfman23@live.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

We have been paying for the reform and if the nets get back in for Spring fishery. Why have we been paying and how about paying back our money!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

John Hall
1423 SE Anspach St
Milwaukie, OR 97267
wolfman23@live.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

As I, along with most of the angling public feel the state has betrayed us on this issue. If a reason for their actions were to be given, it may be more expectable to us. Letting the tribes control things may very well be the best solution.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

John Julian
2850 6th St
Columbia City, OR 97018
jjulian@copper.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

We have all pushed to remove Gill nets including me. The reason for that is so Me and may family have a chance at these fish, and a chance for them to spawn.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Gibbs
751 W B St
Lebanon, OR 97355
Gibbs968@aol.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Maybe once upon a time, when the technology used to net fish was that of a man with a canoe and a throw-net, was it alright to net for fish in a river. Now, with the abilities of modern technology, gillnetters have the capacity to completely rake clean the rivers of everything with scales. It's a practice that will completely decimate the fish populations and extinct the many species that once prospered in the Columbia River. There's a reason why the whole country has outlawed this practice. I thought we were supposed to be progressive in protecting our natural wonders. The thought of allowing gillnetters to destroy the Columbia River makes me nauseous, because it's not a matter of "if", it's a matter of "when" the populations will be extinct. We are already to a depressing, painstakingly low level of fish returns. We can't withstand this pattern of digression going forward. Adding gillnetters back in the river will bring salmon and steelhead runs to a complete halt immediately. Once that happens, there will be nothing we can do to bring them back. Please don't let this happen.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Justin Denfeld
30580 NW Eggiman Ct
North Plains, OR 97133
justindenfeld@godfathersnw.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

What is so hard to get that our salmon runs are depleting because of Sea lions, Gill Netters and the indians. Taking the sport fishing and leaving those that rake the river on is ridiculous and make no sense.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

kelly goss
036 SW Porter St
Portland, OR 97201
yolitamc1@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Several years ago, I traveled over 300 miles to fish in the Willamette river for springers and in 3 days 3 of us caught 5! I would not have gone if I knew that gillnetting by commercial fishermen would be allowed!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Kent Hall
2723 Lincoln Ave SW
Bandon, OR 97411
bevandkent@hotmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please take action to discontinue all GILLNETTING in the Columbia to save our Fishery for the Future Generations.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Kim Hasselbalch
22916 NE 176th Ave
Battle Ground, WA 98604
kimjhass@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

If you continue to charge the sportfishermen for licenses and take their catch away, you will be sorry. This situation is not only bad for the fishing public, but horrible for the resource you are charged with managing!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Kris Lumsden
25625 SE Hoffmeister Rd
Damascus, OR 97089
kris@anglerinnovations.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

You all have the undisputed information of what the fishery of the Columbia River is going thru. Allowing the resolution of the bi-state reforms can only be the most ignorant move of any proposal I have ever heard! Do not change the existing reforms that have been achieved in any way. Actually Gillnets should not now or never in the future be allowed in this river at all!!!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Larry Sene
32445 Church Rd
Warren, OR 97053
lsene@q.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I retired from public accounting 20 years ago and have observed a steady decline in the Columbia River fishery. I support efforts to reverse this trend and believe a non-select fishery has no business being allowed on the Columbia River main stem.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Les Fahey
9500 SW Imperial Dr
Portland, OR 97225
faheyventures@earthlink.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

As a business owner in the fishing industry the impact to thousands of business's across Oregon and Washington would be devastating. This includes boat, manufacturers, sporting goods stores, tackle manufacture's, motels, restaurants across the entire state. Myself because the impact was a 25% loss from the year before and I am sure that is across the board from all other business's effected. The gill nets have NO business in the entire main stem of the Columbia river, EVER.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Leo Wilhelm
916 Barton Loop
Umatilla, OR 97882
lwilh6953@hotmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

All sport fishermen who actually support odfw through licenses and purchasing all things tied to sport fishing. If this full time gillnetting passes there will be HUGE decreases in sport Fisherman!!!
If odfw was wondering the fishing throughout Oregon is mediocre at best and compared to 15 years ago it is very poor, so non selective gillnets year round will only make fishing and fishing communities worse and future fishing will cease to exist
Please think your decisions through and realize all the consequences

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Levi Morris
19665 McCord Rd
Oregon City, OR 97045
lmor501@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I take my 7 year old son and his cousins fishing quite a bit. With the lack of fish, it's making it more difficult to catch fish so they can remain enthusiastic about fishing in the future. Kids are the future of the fishing industry and without their interest in fishing, the fish and wildlife departments are losing a major source of their funding!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Linh Tran
23880 SW Rosa Rd
Hillsboro, OR 97123
tranli@hotmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Our salmon and steelhead runs are decimated and numbers continue to plummet with the result being fewer fish allocated to sportsmen. I have purchased a fishing license and punchcard every year since 1971 but cannot in good conscience continue to do so if the kill nets are allowed to remain in the Columbia River. Many of my friends have quit fishing over the last few years and I will be forced to join them if the commercial insanity continues. Please don’t force me to stop fishing!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Lloyd Loncosky
255 K St
Columbia City, OR 97018
dlonce@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

We are the Pacific Northwest! The jewel of the nation for our beautiful land and our amazing natural resources. Why do we continue to drag nets thru our precious Columbia River. We are hypocrites if we allow this. We are better than this and have better means to fish. Nets kill. Plain and simple. I have fished it since my grandpa first took me. We can not restore salmon while dragging nets down the river killing everything it tangles. I’ve seen 100 dead salmon or Steelhead floating down river. Not cool! Do the right thing.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Makai Brusa
6581 Palomino Way
West Linn, OR 97068
makaibrusa@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

We, the people you represent, have been paying extra money for fishing licenses for 6 years to fund the removal of commercial gillnetters from the mainstem of the Columbia River. We did not have a choice, and believed it when we were told what purpose our money was to be used to do.

All of us feel lied to. Betrayed. How dare you refuse to listen to the science being presented. There is a lot of anger out here, and each time you choose to side with the commercial gillnetting industry, you create more anger and feelings of betrayal.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Margaret Lochridge
15980 SE Ruby Dr
Portland, OR 97267
momloch@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Reintroducing gillnets will destroy our fisheries that we all have worked so hard to maintain!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Mark Abolofia
5150 SW 85th Ave
Portland, OR 97225
markabolofia@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please allow for multiple use of the steahead and salmon fisheries. Gil netting doesn't. Remember the sport fisherman! We bring lots of money to the communities and pay towards habitat improvements.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

mark Boardman
145 W Valley Acres
Kalispell, MT 59901
markboardman0918@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

While gill nets have been banned in most countries we continue to allow them in the Columbia river. These gill nets kill everything they catch. This needs to stop.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

MARK Carter
410 N 7th St
Carlton, OR 97111
steffandmark2@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please do not ruin sport fisheries on the lower Columbia and renege on the previously agreed Columbia river reforms to curtail non-select gill netting!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Mark Clark
2280 Southshore Blvd
Lake Oswego, OR 97034
markinlo@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I fish out of Chinook Landing for springers. I guess I should say I use to. I seems that every year when the fish are due to show up you people close the river. Don't you realize the seasons are shifting due to climate change?

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Mark Tompkins
340 NE Liberty Ave
Gresham, OR 97030
paintedeagle@aol.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Get your crap together
we are losing a natural resource.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

mark volland
24520 S Central Point Rd
Canby, OR 97013
mevolland@canby.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please defend the sportsmens' desire to continue fishing the Columbia River. It seems that the fish counts are declining as are the successful outcomes of our fishing outings. Thanks in advance for listening to sportsmens' concerns.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Marv Abe
30625 SW Heater Rd
Sherwood, OR 97140
theabes@myfrontiermail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Dear Commissioners,

While the following is a form letter, my personal appeal to you is not. I consider the issue of gill nets still being allowed on ANY fishable waters to be critical to the future of all fishing. I am against their use as they are non-selective in use and will further endanger our already dangerously low salmon, steelhead and sturgeon resources. If you fish and want your children and grandchildren and their offspring to enjoy what we have then you must stop the use of gill nets and force the commercial industry to stop playing games and get on board with methods that are sustainable.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Matt Hastings
3430 SW Primrose St
Portland, OR 97219
matthew.hastings@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I am a fishing tackle store owner and avid salmon and steelhead fisherman. I have spent many years assisting the various fishing groups oppose Columbia River gill netting. I am not against commercial fishing, I am against non-select gill nets and their "by-catch" that takes more fish from our already sensitive runs of steelhead and salmon. Oregon had already voted and passed previous legislation when Kitzhaber deviated the plan saying he would have to veto it. Please support keeping gill nets OFF the Columbia River!!!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Matthew Danz
3374 Rosemont Way
Eugene, OR 97401
madanz2001@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I've been sport fishing nearly my entire life, I'm 71 years old, this IS the stupidest proposal I have ever heard!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Michael Churchill
19181 S Creek Rd
Oregon City, OR 97045
mchurch087@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Sportfishing brings in millions if not billion dollars to Pacific northwest. Fish have enough hurdles without nets! Sea lions!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Michael Hearing
1163 NW 10th St
Corvallis, OR 97330
michaelh122@aol.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please save our fish so that my children and grandchildren can enjoy the resources that they are entitled to.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

michael long
5820 SW 141st Ave
Beaverton, OR 97005
mlong59107@aol.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Don’t move backwards in efforts to restore healthy fisheries. Support the original reforms and not the continued needless indiscriminate killing of wild fish.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington’s Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon’s endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Michael McGuire
1230 Bexhill St
West Linn, OR 97068
mikemcguire87@msn.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Why would you even think this is a good idea? I live in Idaho and our steelhead season is closed. Our salmon run was low. The fish need a clear path to the spawning grounds for the runs to survive.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Michael Sprague
1023 Hemlock Ave
Lewiston, ID 83501
longdistancemike@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

You made a deal, you made a promise! Sportsmen upheld our end of the deal. Don’t let a few ‘millionaire fish processors’ dictate our Columbia fish management policies!!! Please!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington’s Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Mike Carlson
15823 NE Hancock St
Portland, OR 97230
michaelcarlsone2006@gmail.com
From: Mike Lane
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Don't Abandon Columbia River Fishery Reforms and Return Gillnets to Mainstem
Date: Monday, October 21, 2019 9:00:08 AM

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I have no idea why Oregon would allow non- selective gill nets to be in a river with endangered stocks of fish.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Mike Lane
1301 NW 106th Ter
Portland, OR 97229
mtlane4156@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I have been a life long resident in Oregon. I have been a ocean fisheries guide and helped to successfully introduce the current upland bird stamp to the Oregon legislature. There is absolutely no reason to allow gill netting in the Columbia. This year upriver sport fishermen had reduced bag limits and greatly reduced fishing days while gill betters were given more and more days to fish. This very unfair to sportsmen and guides and hurts our economy. Please do not abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and eliminate gill netting in the Columbia.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Mike Rice
3680 SE Oxbow Pkwy
Gresham, OR 97080
mrice2@wildblue.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Why do you as a body (ODFW) continually work against the sport fishing industry in favor of the commercial entities. I am losing all respect for your work and any efforts to help save endangered anadromous fish runs.

I have been a ODFW volunteer for over twenty years helping to restore, maintain, and grow anadromous fish stocks throughout the central coast of Oregon and the Willamette Valley. How dare you intentionally work to destroy our remaining stocks in favor of commercial entities.

I will never volunteer for your organization in the future, never continue as an educator, mentor or be involved with any program sponsored by ODFW. You have lost an advocate and added someone who will work against you for the foreseeable future.

Thank you for nothing.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gill-net industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Mike Samples
8042 SE Hood Cir
Prineville, OR 97754
msamples57@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I cannot believe anyone would think gillnets are an option anywhere anytime.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Mike Sones
1305 NW 102nd Ave
Portland, OR 97229
sones_mike@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I was born in The Dalles in 1953 while the dam was being built. My father would crawl down a ladder from the railroad bridge and fish at Celilo Falls. Back then the runs were strong and the river and fish could survive the gill netting. Not anymore!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Ron Dilbeck
8274 SW Lafayette Way
Wilsonville, OR 97070
ron@crossle.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

The sport fisherman have been paying to subsidize the gillnetters for almost 10 years now. This was promised to end gill net fishing on the Columbia, but now we see that promise on the verge of being broken and all "OUR" monies going for nothing. Do not go down the same road as Washington did and vote against the will of the voters!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

neil riewer
3638 SE 21st Dr
Gresham, OR 97080
firemaneilr@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Dear Commissioners, This is the second year in a row that the salmon/steelhead seasons have closed early. They closed before the fish even got up to where I normally fish the Columbia. They closed because of the low numbers of returning fish and now you are wanting to open it up for gillnetting? How does one even make any sense of a thought like that? Seriously! HOW?

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Nolan Matsumoto
297 Kanrich Way
Ontario, OR 97914
Hookerhunter@msn.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Milton Hunt
32629 Coal Creek Rd
Scappoose, OR 97056
miltondhunt@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Why am I paying $10 Columbia River endorsement? I thought it was to get the gill nets of the main river. Was I wrong about this? not paying for it next year.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Paul JABS
20511 Olmstead Rd NE
Aurora, OR 97002
paulj@p-r-c.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please give the fish a break and stop this indiscriminate destruction of this precious resource for the benefit of a few. More of these gillnet caught fish are taken out of the nets by sealions than ever reach the deck of their boats. Where is the "selectiveness" in the practice.? It's high time you recognize that recreational fishing gives back more to our communities than commercial fishing ever did.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnet caught fish to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Paul Mikesh
3585 5th St
Columbia City, OR 97018
paulmikesh@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Gillnetting is so nonselective we can't afford such an antiquated method of harvest. If there's enough fish to afford commercial fishing than it should be done by the most selective methods.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Paul Reeder
10893 S Forest Ridge Rd
Oregon City, OR 97045
Phreeder46@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please allow the rivers to be filled with fish, to be caught individually instead of mass harvesting. Leave that to the ocean fisheries. The ones coming back to spawn and the ones going out to sea deserve a chance. Please make the rivers a "free zone" from gill nets.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Phil Bernhard
PO Box 365
Marylhurst, OR 97036
philbernhard@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I can’t believe we are still dealing with gill nets. They need to be removed from our rivers for good.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Phil Lyman
3123 SW Caraway Ct
Portland, OR 97219
p.lyman@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Abandonment of the Columbia River Fishery Reforms is a major step backward to preserve our salmon and provide for increased recreational Salmon and Steelhead fishing. Gill nets do not belong on the river and commercial fishing in the river does not belong to support providing fish to restaurants. Commercial fishing should be done in the ocean under strict controls. The River if for recreational and tribal fishing. Do not let yourselves be persuaded by fishing interests that are so narrow that only less than 100 people are affected.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Philip Drake
3226 SW Battaglia Pl
Gresham, OR 97080
mike_drake@msn.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Hey people please wake up! This is the 21st century! There is no reason except for greed to allow gill nets in the main stem Columbia River. This is just wrong and shame on you for even considering this proposal.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Philip Longway
5328 SW Vermont St
Portland, OR 97219
phil_longway_1@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I have been an Oregonian for my whole life and do not approve of the way that this problem has been handled. The people voted to remove the gill nets off of the river and move to a harvest method that would be more selective and still give the commercial fisherman a way to make a living. The sport fisherman have been paying extra to make this happen and now again this is being abandoned again. It needs to be implemented as was voted for by the people.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Phillip Roberts
14317 SE Fairoaks Ln
Portland, OR 97267
phillip.roberts@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

We are now 2 years beyond the agreement that was reached to remove gill nets from the Columbia River. I think that the sportsmen of this state have been duped. I believe that our Columbia River Endorsement dollars have been squandered. I think that the sportsman of this state should have sued for removal of the gill nets and not joined the Kitshaber agreement. The ODFW has done many things to loose the respect of the sportsmen of this state. I cannot believe that when the Columbia River had the most restrictive sport seasons ever that gill netting was still allowed. Right now I can only fish for a hatchery coho. Chinook season and Steelhead seasons are closed Hatchery coho seem to be in short supply with many unmarked fish returning. Yet the Department has allowed a Commercial Chinook season in zones 5 and 6 and a Commercial Coho season in the lower river. If this department wants my respect it must change. Stop being an Agency that is only there to divide up the last salmon. Stop being an Agency that spends all of it's dollars counting fish. Stop being an Agency that uses gill nets to test for fish. Stop being an Agency that does everything by emergency regulation. When you became unable to print salmon regulations a year that is when Commercial Fishing should have stopped. Please do not abandon the agreement we made. Time is up. Get the gill nets off of the Columbia.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Ralph Veldink
14111 NE Siskiyou Ct
Portland, OR 97230
rveldink@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I've fished the Clearwater and Snake all my life. I've been looking forward to taking my grandchildren. This year the Clearwater has been shut down. The following will kill recreational fishing. Don't abandon the reforms.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Randy Bailey
PO Box 724
Sagle, ID 83860
bailey.laststand@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I have seen similar restrictions work while living in Alaska. Commercial fisheries have benefited those restrictions. Non-selective gillnetters do not belong in the Columbia river main stream at this time. Do not abandon the bi-state reforms the reforms.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Randy Bonds
74427 Larson Rd
Rainier, OR 97048
kb7pat@hotmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Due to the kill nets my home river is done for have anything return it is the south Santiam rive been fishing it for 40 years and now it’s not worth the time. Thanks for putting the screws to me and all the other fishermen and women.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Randy Hackstedt
974 Mountain River Dr
Lebanon, OR 97355
randy.hackstedt@hotmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I find it shocking that you would even consider such actions! Why do I continue to support this system with my tax $, license fees, support to local economies, etc. when the agencies that are supposed to be helping with fish recovery keep making stupid decisions that benefit only a handful of people who have abused the resource for years!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Randy Klettke
614 Deschutes Ave
Maupin, OR 97037
randyklettke@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

The gill net fishery kills way to much bycatch to be an environmentaly acceptable harvest system. Seine nets are more better. The rules and regulations that recreational fishermen have to abide by

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Randy Klobas
5815 Seaview Ave NW
Tillamook, OR 97141
spikeklobas@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I have been an Oregonian my whole life (58 years) and only recently the last (20) an avid fisherman. I have seen the sport fishing opportunities dwindle year after year and gill netting makes absolutely no sense to me.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Randy McAdams
15164 SE River Forest Dr
Portland, OR 97267
randy.mcadams@atlassupply.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

We made an agreement with Kitzhopper in writing that there would be no Gill-nets on the main stream Columbia River and I think it is your responsibility to honor the agreement.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Ric Salata
15024 Saint Andrews Dr
Oregon City, OR 97045
rsalata@msn.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

If you go in this direction you will wipe out all the fish which results in no fishing. So if there is no fishing we won't need to buy any license. So if you have no revenue what are you going to do?

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Richard Bomhoff
33506 Canaan Rd
Deer Island, OR 97054
rlbomhoff@hotmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

It is hard to believe that we are revisiting this issue in light of the very poor fish returns of the last many years. It is critical to keep the nets off the river!!!!!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Richard Darst
176 Springwood Dr
Eugene, OR 97404
dickdarst@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

You destroyed Columbia River salmon with the political agenda to build dams then tried to cover up the gross oversites and environmental tragedy. Exacerbated the situation with the politics of sea lions becoming out of control. Don't continue to rape the Columbia River fishery with gill nets.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Rick Klettke
13800 SW 118th Ct
Tigard, OR 97223
rklettke@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Gillnetting is a non selective method of harvesting fish. The mortality rate for non targeted species is very high. As a conservationist, this method has no place in a climate that has seen and is currently experiencing the extinction of several fish species.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Rob Benton
2988 SE Maple St
Hillsboro, OR 97123
rob.benton6@frontier.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I fish the Columbia and have watched the numbers of fish drop over the years and now more gill nets during the whole year? All gill netters have strong regular jobs so they don't need the extra $ to make a living. Keep the reform's intact!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Rob Gibbs
16225 SE Tickle Creek Rd
Boring, OR 97009
robgibbs0208@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

The time for a non selective Gill net fishery on the Columbia is over, especially with historically low adult returns.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Robb Sipler
6510 SW Belmont Ln
Madras, OR 97741
rivermule@hotmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

A lot of misleading information on harvest of fish in SAFE areas. The plan to take gillnets off the main river and into SAFE areas made a lot of sense. Gillnets are not selective. Whatever gets in them dies or is released to swim off and die. High mortality rate. Commercial fishing in main river was to come up with a better selective way. Gillnetters I believe had no intentions of finding a selective way to harvest and release ESA listed salmon and Steelhead. Which would better regulate what commercial fisherman can keep and release unharmed. Makes no sense to spends millions of dollars to get wild runs going and habitat improvements where possible and then have the fish end up dead in gillnets used in the main Columbia River.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Robert Askey
813 Aldercrest Dr
Newberg, OR 97132
Bob.Askey0313@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Gillnetting is insane with salmon runs diminishing.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Robert Claeys
18509 NE 78th Way
Vancouver, WA 98682
rrclaey@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please do not abandon Columbia river reforms. I will chose to not buy a license next year, if you do....

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Robert Eckert
14840 NE Rose Pkwy
Portland, OR 97230
bobandjoaneckert@live.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I've fished the Columbia for 35 years. I've personally witnessed gillnet boats in action. There have been MANY times when I've seen them ripping steelhead out of their net an throwing them as far as they can away from there nets. Gillnets are an archaic way of harvesting fish and the fishery managers should reconsider this method. The once great runs of salmon and steelhead have diminished and this is part of the problem

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Robert Huber
47086 River Ranch Ln
Clatskanie, OR 97016
rshuber123@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

There is no reason to allow non-selective gillets on the main stem of the Columbia River when there are Endangered species of salmon and steelhead that rely on this river system to return to their spawning grounds. States are suppose to be doing all in their power to help these fish species to recover to naturally sustainable levels.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Robert Morton
1293 Troy St NE
Keizer, OR 97303
Robert.Morton65@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

As a lifetime resident of Oregon and having bought a hunting and fishing license every year since I was 14 (less one year I was at training for the ORNG) I have seen it all. From liberal fish limits to limited catch and release to rolling up river closures for Salmon and Steelhead. I see a developing change in the allowable fishing dates combined with added expense for tags and endorsement tags. Many of my fishing friends will not be buying fishing licenses next year to these expenses and limited fishing opportunities for those of us that live east of The Dalles. We seem to get skipped in the re-opening of seasons for both Salmon and Steelhead while below The Dalles and above the confluence of the Snake get short closures and liberal limits. We fail to see the benefit of our closures. Until the Commission attacks the real problems of nets and sea lions we don't see an improvement in our plight. Lack of continued financial support of the ODFW are becoming much easier subscribe to.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Robert Wimberly
456 Monroe St
Umatilla, OR 97882
wimbocondo@msn.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Why do you keep on trying to get gillnets back in the Columbia River? Enough people have express their opposition on this issue. You have the Columbia closed half the time because of the lack salmon runs and yet you want to let them net...does not make sense. Sports fishermen pay a fee to fish and represent about what, 70-80% of your budget? Come on people...thinks about it from the prospective of future generations. I want my grandson to be able to catch a salmon in the future but the way it is going he may not be able to.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Rod Evers
4304 SE 44th Ave
Portland, OR 97206
rodney.evers@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I have been an Oregon fisherman for over 50 years. I might not get a 2020 license. no fun fishing when there are so few fish caught /release.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Roger Gertenrich
3570 SW River Pkwy # 501
Portland, OR 97239
gertr@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I am ashamed of the ODFW, the Oregon legislature, and our Governor for allowing the gillnets to remain. They are all obviously influenced more by money in there own coffers than the welfare of the environment and the desires of our state's residents. Oregon used to be recognized as an environmentally conscientious state. It is now apparent to all that we will abandon all logical environmental principles for the financial profit of or leadership.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Roger Wicklund
2211 SW Park Pl Unit 1002
Portland, OR 97205
wicklundr@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

We the sportsmen in Oregon should have never trusted our government to shut down the gill nets on the Columbia River. We should bring this issue to a vote of the people to make gill netting illegal and forever shut it down. Do your job and stop the gill netting as promised.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Ron Ritenour
687 SW Augustus Dr
Dallas, OR 97338
ronritenour@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

At a point in time when I as a sports fisherman have experienced limited fishing opportunities, low quotas and restrictions that are unprecedented you are considering putting nets back in the river. I can not support an action that will further reduce fishing opportunities and will support a ballot measure that would ban all and any commercial netting in the main stream Columbia.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Ronald Taylor
3823 Hudson Ave NE
Salem, OR 97301
rltaylor11@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please don't let the know nothing greedy gill netters back in the Columbia River with their kill everything gill nets. Do the responsible thing and keep them out!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Russ Elliott
PO Box 12934
Salem, OR 97309
russelliot@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Do not allow gillnets in the lower Columbia River. We need to protect and enhance our wild salmon runs.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Russ Thackery
PO Box 181
Columbia City, OR 97018
rjthack@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Scott Tews
1510 NW Cavens Ln
Hillsboro, OR 97124
scotttews22@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Sincerely,

Shane Milburn
17 Abelard St
Lake Oswego, OR 97035
smilburn@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

At 67 years old, I am a lifelong fisherman who wants nothing more than to pass along this wonderful sport to my grandchildren. It seems that those charged with protecting and enhancing our salmon and steelhead runs are constantly bowing to the demands of the commercial fisheries at the expense of these precious fish. WHY!!!!??

Please intervene and help us to do everything possible to restore salmon and steelhead for future generations to enjoy.

We're counting on you!!!

Stan McClain
Salem, Ore

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Stan McClain
1722 Misty Pl NW
Salem, OR 97304
mcclainsk1@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

We're suppose to be an environmental state but yet we're allowing Gillnets on the Columbia River. The main reason we've lost so many species of salmon and steelhead on this river and the systems that feed into it is because of the Gillnets. We use to have some of the largest species of salmon in the world right here in Oregon and Washington but they have been wiped out buy Gillnets and Dams. Let's make it right for pass bad deeds and rebuild out fish stock back to what they once were.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Steven Buelna
17112 SW Arkenstone Dr
Portland, OR 97224
steve.buelna@frontier.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

To the people on the Oregon fish commission, Who do you think pays your bills every year? It's the people that buy fishing and hunting licences! without us you would not have a job .Why don't you listen to us? Most of us think you are doing us wrong, with pour policies, for instance closing down fish hatcheries, that could eventually lead to more fish for everyone, you produce more fish,you feed everyone, even the Orca's! The gillnets are in the river because you allow them to be there, but you don't think that we know what's going on , when you shut the rivers down to us and let them in to supposedly let them in for what you call map up !!! that is such BS .We are watching you , please make some better choices !

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Steven Hougak
PO Box 547
Boring, OR 97009
steve.hougak@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

If the resources of our sport fishery are not managed for all, it looks like the commission against sport angling is a play and pay political scheme, that ends up harming all involved and concerned with managing the resource, and a pocket filling lottery for politicians, we must vote out the non willing to listen!!!!!!!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

steven winn
35015 Seavey Loop Rd
Eugene, OR 97405
poppygrammy@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

It's time to get the killnets off of the Columbia for good. ODFW seems to disregard the voices of the people that actually fund them. In doing so you are continuing to alienate the people that actually care about the fisheries and wildlife in this state. Please hear our voices and stop the madness! I promise you this, "I WILL NO LONGER SUPPORT YOUR AGENCY" if you continue to support the raping of our fisheries by an outdated non-selective method of harvest!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

TERRY WALKER
34361 NE Sunset Loop
Scappoose, OR 97056
fishaholic2@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

As a life long (68 year old) Oregonian, who has been fishing in Oregon since I was 5 years old, I strongly urge ODFW Commissioners not to allow Gill Netters to the Columbia River Mainstream.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Terry Wilson
9360 SW Panorama Pl
Portland, OR 97225
twilson@compasspdx.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

As a refresher, Here are the 5 economic benefits of the sport fishing industry...

1) The tackle industry: manufacture, distribution, marketing, and retail sales of fishing rods, reels, and tackle, etc.
2) The marine industry: boat, motor, and electronics ... manufacture, distribution, retail, etc.
3) The tourism industry: transportation, resorts, motels, restaurants, etc.
4) The media industry: tv, radio, and internet 'how to' education
5) The government: licenses, tags, special fees, etc.

Number 5 is where your salary comes from....

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Thomas Carlier
2380 NW 144th Ave
Beaverton, OR 97006
tcarlier@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

You continue to break the agreement made with the public. Implement the agreement or refund my money. Am about 3 heart beats from starting a class action lawsuit for my $ and/or ballot initiative to ban the nets.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Tim McCoy
5411 SW Joshua St
Tualatin, OR 97062
pikmccoy@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

I sick of paying a tax for years now that was supposed to be for these reforms. About to give it all up, Fishing and Hunting!!!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Tim Wolford
2140 17th Ave SW
Albany, OR 97321
trw905@yahoo.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Please don’t abandon our legacy, heritage and NW culture! More than ever we need to keep moving forward on our reforms. Please do not let us slide back done this treacherous and slippery slope. Stand with the people of our great state.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Timothy Schroeder
15369 NW Sweetgale Ln
Portland, OR 97229
timothy.m.schroeder@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Just don’t understand why we are supposed to be a democratic government yet a small minority has such a influence. There are so many more sport fishermen & women that interject so much more money into the economy as compared to the gill betters.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Tom Gerold
6983 Birchwood Ct N
Keizer, OR 97303
twgerold@msn.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

The Columbia River is no place for commercial nets, that indiscriminately kill! Why are we still having this fight? These fish are dying on OUR WATCH! And all they want to do is rape the river. Look at the Steelhead runs, look at the fall salmon run. The fall run is our LAST true wild fish!! Please keep the nets out of the main stem of the Columbia!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Tracy Meskel
165 E Clarendon St
Gladstone, OR 97027
t Tracy.fishchaser@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

The Commissions continued consideration of returning gillnets to the mainstream Columbia River is alarming. These actions, in direct opposition to the Columbia Compact, would be in direct opposition to the best interests of both the fish and the residents of Oregon that owns this valuable public resource., amounting to nothing short of mismanagement.

I urge the Commission to rethink these critical decisions and recognize that without support from sportsman's dollars you will have no future budget from which to manage these endangered fish populations back to health.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Troy Cummins
31221 OSPREY RD
LEBANON, OR 97355
tecummins@comcast.net
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

What was the point of all of us sport fishers to pay for an endorsement that is not doing what it was supposed to? This is very frustrating that we are all paying for nothing.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Troy Kalhar
13841 SE Bluff Rd
Sandy, OR 97055
brokat2003@wavecable.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

To our respected ODFW Commissioners.
For the first time in 37 years, I did not purchase an annual fishing license. In April of this year I sold my fishing boat, the boat of my dreams. I can't remember when I ever missed the Columbia River Salmon fishery in August, but I didn't go this year. If I have to stop fishing to feel like I've done my part in conserving our resources then that's what I'm going to do. These gill nets have no place in our fisheries. In an instant gill nets can rewind the clock on all of our hard work and conservation efforts and send a fish run into extinction.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

Wallace Beck
4651 NE Riverside Dr
McMinnville, OR 97128
budbeck3@gmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

Commission members should be held accountable for these poorly thought out decisions. Are my grandkids going to be able to in the future, catch a salmon on the Columbia River? Don't destroy this resource in order to keep the archaic and non-discriminating system on the river.

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

William Barnum
56589 Cascade View Dr
Warren, OR 97053
webfoot1932@hotmail.com
Dear ODFW Commissioners,

All we have to do is follow the politicians and the gill netters money. Both are corrupt. You cannot devastate the fishery any more that you have done! NO, NO, NO!!!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

William Safko
18989 NE Marine Dr Slip 27
Portland, OR 97230
safkorick@gmail.com
From: William Steen
To: ODFW Commissioners
Subject: Don't Abandon Columbia River Fishery Reforms and Return Gillnets to Mainstem
Date: Friday, October 18, 2019 11:32:02 AM

Dear ODFW Commissioners,

It’s ridiculous the way recreational fishermen have to continually keep tabs on our government so as not to lose what’s all already been given and rightfully ours!!!

I strongly oppose proposals to abandon the bi-state Columbia River fishery reforms and return non-selective gillnets to the mainstem Columbia River. Non-selective gillnets do not belong in mixed-stock lower Columbia River fisheries where endangered salmon and steelhead are present. Gillnets are incapable of selectively harvesting hatchery fish, which is key to recovering wild salmon populations and meeting federal hatchery reform requirements to maintaining hatchery production.

Instead of falling back on indiscriminate, industrial commercial gillnetting, our region must fully transition to fisheries capable of selective harvest - recreational and commercial - to harvest more hatchery and healthy wild stocks of salmon within the limited number of endangered salmon and steelhead that may be impacted in state fisheries. Our goal should be to get more wild salmon back to the spawning grounds, not merely the bare minimum.

The bi-state reforms are the result of significant effort and compromise, including increased hatchery production to benefit gillnet harvests in off-channel areas. Efforts to undo the reforms put this hatchery production and the funding available for Columbia River salmon management at risk. Efforts to extend Washington's Columbia River endorsement fee were recently rejected by their state legislature and Oregon's endorsement must be reauthorized next year.

One key purpose of the bi-state reforms was to enhance the overall economic benefits of the fishery and provide more certainty for fisheries - it was never to increase gillnet industry profits.

I urge you to reject any further efforts to abandon the Columbia River reforms and hold the agencies accountable for their implementation.

Sincerely,

William Steen
3674 Hills Creek Ct N
Keizer, OR 97303
willsteen@icloud.com