

HOW TO READ A POINT SUMMARY REPORT

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE

(CCH) POINTSUMRPT

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIVISION
INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECTION
CONTROLLED HUNT SYSTEM

2012 CONTROLLED HUNT APPLICATIONS SUMMARIZED BY POINTS
COUNTS OF HUNTS APPLIED FOR ARE FIRST CHOICES ONLY
ELK

PAGE: 42
RUN DATE: 06/11/12
RUN TIME: 09:35:20

HUNT NO.	HUNT NAME	TAGS AUTH	RES APPL	RES DRWN	NRES APPL	NRES DRWN	PNT APPS ALLD	TOT PNT APPL	TOT PNT DRWN	CUM PNTS	ALL PNT APPS	HUNTERS PNT DRWN	NON-RESIDENT PNT APPS	NON-RESIDENT PNT DRWN
256Y1	WENAHA UNIT NO 2	25	2778	24	273	1	19	2650	19	17	2	2	1	1
										16	6	0	6	0
										15	36	17	5	0
										14	62	0	9	0
										13	72	0	8	0
										12	88	0	7	0
										11	89	0	13	0
										10	112	0	25	0
										9	111	0	14	0
										8	114	0	11	0
										7	134	0	10	0
										6	193	0	18	0
										5	218	0	23	0
										4	279	0	18	0
										3	403	0	25	0
										2	333	0	23	0
										1	398	0	20	0

<i><u>PNT APPS ALLD</u></i>	<i><u>TOT PNT APPL</u></i>	<i><u>TOT PNT DRWN</u></i>
75% of authorized tags (the portion that goes to hunters based on their preference points)	# of applicants that applied with preference points	# of people that drew with preference points (in 75% portion of the draw)

<i><u>CUM POINTS</u></i>	<i><u>ALL PNT APPS</u></i>	<i><u>HUNTERS PNT DRWN</u></i>	<i><u>NON-RESIDENT COLUMNS</u></i>
Number of preference points.	Number of hunters that applied with that # of preference points.	Number of hunters that drew the tag with that # of preference points	A subset of previous two columns, breaking down the non-resident draw.

Two hunters with 17 points applied and both drew the tag. One was a non-resident. Six hunters with 16 points applied and none drew the tag; all were non-resident.